	Community from ing
	Page 1
1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE
2	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
3	x
4	IN THE MATTER OF :
5	THE APPLICATION OF POTOMAC :
6	ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR : Formal Case No.: 1139
7	AUTHORITY TO INCREASE EXISTING:
8	RETAIL RATES AND CHARGES FOR :
9	ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SERVICE :
10	x
11	Washington, D.C
12	Wednesday, April 12, 2017
13	The Hearing in the above-captioned matter
14	began at 6:05 p.m., at the Offices of Public Service
15	Commission of the District of Columbia, 1325 G Street,
16	Northwest, Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20036.
17	
18	
19	BEFORE: BETTY ANN KANE, CHAIRMAN
20	RICHARD BEVERLY, COMMISSION STAFF
21	WILLIE L. PHILLIPS, COMMISSION STAFF
22	

	, ,	
		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2		
3	Chairman Betty Anne Kane	
4	Commissioner Staff Willie Phillips	
5	Commissioner Staff Richard Beverly	
6	Sandra Mattavous-Frye, ESQ.	
7		
8		
9	CONTENTS	
10		
11	Brian Lederer	6
12	Miguel Ramirez	10
13	Eric Grant	17
14	Kevin Clinton	24
15	Geraldine Bell	29
16	Wen Liang	32
17	Steve Lanning	35
18	Zerihun Gaines	38
19	Frank Smith	41
20	Melanie Bailey	44
21	Donna Dowdy	46
22	Mary Cuthbert	48

		Page	3
1	David Jones		50
2	Raymir Diaz		52
3	Oswaldo Cruz		53
4	Rolando Blanco		55
5	William Ayala		57
6	Ingrid Reyes		59
7	Craig Cavington		59
8	Charles Thomas		60
9	Soyun Park		62
10	Sandra Seegers		68
11	John Tinpe		70
12	LaMonica Jeffrey		73
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

PROCEEDINGS

2 INTRODUCTION:

2.1

2.2

MS. KANE: Good evening. This is a community
hearing of the Public Service Commission of the
District of Columbia in the Formal Case 1139, which is
In the Matter of the Application of the Potomac
Electric Power Company for Authority to Increase
Existing Retail Rates and Charges for Electric
Distribution Service.

For the record, I'm Betty Ann Kane, and today is April 12, 2017. I am the Chairman of the Public Service Commission. To my right is Commissioner Richard Beverly. To my left is Commissioner Willy L. Phillips.

This is our last community hearing in Formal Case 1139. We had four community hearings preceding the evidentiary hearings, the formal evidentiary hearings that we held here at the Commission, and now we have this final community hearing. A little bit of background, just to -- I think most people here are familiar with it, but just background, again, for the record.

2.1

2.2

Page 5

On June 30, 2016, Pepco filed an Application Requesting Authority to Increase Existing Distribution Rates and Services -- Rates and Charges for Electric Service in the District of Columbia, in the amount of \$85.5 million.

On February 1, 2017, Pepco amended its request and now seeks an increase of \$76.8 million, and that is representing approximately 21.24 percent of their current distribution revenues, which are \$361.5 million. Pepco requests the authority to earn an 8 percent rate of return, including a return on equity of 10.6 percent, and Pepco has represented that its application would translate to an increase in distribution rates of approximately \$3.84 a month for the typical residential customer, who uses 675 kilowatt hours a month.

Before we proceed -- and for those persons who also may be watching through our webcast and let me remind everyone here, that we do stream these hearings live. We also archive them. So if you have a long weekend and something you want to do, you could watch all of our hearings live. I ask you first of

all, if you do have any cell phones or anything else that makes noise, if you would turn it off so that it doesn't get included in the recording of the hearing, and also anything else that would make noise.

2.1

2.2

But, what I want to say about the distribution hearings, and for everyone, is to draw your attention to a common misunderstanding about rate cases. Only a portion of the amount that is -- that a typical customer pays for electricity on their residential electric customer bill, is actually regulated by the Commission, whether it's electricity actually, or whether its gas. In the case of a residential electric customer with standard offer service, which is the default service provided by Pepco to customers who haven't chosen a competitive supplier, about a third of the bill represents distribution or delivery charges.

So, for an average residential customer, for whom the approximate monthly bill is about \$83.30, that's at the 675 kilowatt hours a month, only about \$27.62 represents the distribution delivery charge of that bill. And of that \$27.62, \$7.24 represents taxes

2.1

2.2

Page 7

and surcharges that are set by the DC Council, not by the Commission. I see my former colleague, a council member, Frank Smith here -- and familiar with the right-of-way fee, the delivery tax, and some of the other charges that are set by the Council, but added to the bills.

So, actually only about \$20.38 of an \$83.30 bill is set by the Commission in the context of a rate case. We don't mean to de Minimis that amount. Every penny that people pay is important and important to be looked at and be justified, but we want people to understand that it is just that portion of the bill.

Once you take out the taxes it's about 25 percent of the bill that is the issue that is in this rate case that is before us.

So we welcome any comments that persons may want to submit on this matter for our consideration.

We will hear from the people who have signed up, first of all, this evening, and if other -- people who called in ahead of time. Anyone else who did not sign up ahead of time and has spoken to the secretary out in the lobby, we will then hear your comments. And if,

1 as you sit here, anybody else wants to decide to 2 speak, just go out and see the secretary.

3 And then I want to remind the public that 4 the record in the case will close on April 26, 2017, and that will be our deadline for any additional written comments that anyone wants to submit in this case. So, without further ado, let me go to our list 8 of witnesses.

The first, we have Deborah Shore representing the Ward 3 Democratic Committee. Ms. Dee Lederer is representing the Ward 3 Democratic Committee. Thank you. Brian Lederer, our former People's Council.

MR. LEDERER: So, we know the chain of authority, I'm also --

16 FEMALE VOICE: (Inaudible)

> MS. KANE: Let me. There's a little -- where it says "push" and make sure the green light is on. On your microphone, there you go.

WITNESS TESTIMONY: 20

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

2.1

2.2

MR. LEDERER: Does it seem on? Yes. I was also Chair of the Ward 3 Democratic Committee and I'm

2.1

2.2

Page 9

on the Executive Board as well as People's Council, so it is an honor to testify. And I do understand the challenge that any one of these cases present, and particularly because they're usually just a piece in a bigger puzzle and sometime hard to figure out what to do with the piece without looking at the puzzle.

Anyway, we have a resolution that's attached to the written testimony, which -- and I've submitted the written testimony, but I'll just read the testimony. I won't read the resolution, then. I wanted to say the Ward 3 Democratic Committee, which is part of the State Committee, and we're people who are politically active in the City, and the issue of energy rates and utility rates for -- not just for Pepco, but just for the whole economy of the City is a driving issue for our committee.

And so we're grateful for the Public Service Commission holding this public hearing and giving us a chance to speak on it. I am Brian Lederer, a delegate of the Ward 3 Democratic Committee, a member of the Executive Board, as I said, a former Chair, and a member of their Task Force on Transportation and the

2.1

2.2

Page 10

Environment. I am testifying on the behalf of our Chair, Deborah Shore, and the Task Force Chair, Susan Bass because the entire body of the Ward 3 Democratic Committee passed the Resolution on March 9, which is attached to testimony urging the Public Service Commission to reject Exelon and Pepco's proposed \$76.8 million 21 percent hike in electric distribution rates.

We believe that the request to, what we call "dramatically increase" the electric distribution rates is not in the public interest for several reasons: (1) The DC Office of People's Council, which has thoroughly looked at these numbers does recommend that more 66 percent of the requested increase be denied; (2) This, what we call "unjust and unreasonable" proposed rate increase would increase financial hardship and make it difficult for residents.

I mean -- I'm just adding something here -we all know that every -- virtually every business and
every resident in the City, uses electricity for
lighting, for heating, for various reasons. The City

uses it. So, this is an important issue and we say, also seniors and those of limited or fixed incomes.

2.1

(3) This rate case is especially important to DC ratepayers because this is the first rate case since the PSC -- narrowly, shall we say -- split decision. It doesn't matter. I'm not here to pick on the PSC on that decision, but it narrowly allowed Exelon's takeover of Pepco.

The PSC's decision will not only determine the rate shock ratepayers will experience, but it will also set a precedent for future rate cases, from this entity, the Exelon/Pepco entity, in other regulatory proceedings before the PSC. So this is, like maybe a case of first impression that sets precedent for this new entity.

(4) With interest rates continuing at record low levels and no interest, evidence of a plan, or a need for Exelon/Pepco to sell additional common equity, Exelon/Pepco seeks to increase its authorized rate of return on common equity, significantly from that allowed in previous PSC orders, No. 17424 and No. 16930.

2.1

2.2

Page 12

Yeah, it is a little strange -- and this is my comment -- to be seeking to increase the return on equity, in an era where we've had unprecedented low interest rates for a long time, and unless there's a risk that they're not disclosing to the Commission, I can't see any financial justification for doing that.

(5) Exelon/Pepco has to show, as required in PSC Order No. 18160, that customers are not being asked to pay any part of Exelon's reported, at least \$259-plus million in acquisition costs that's reported in their end of 2015 filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Incurred in this takeover, nor any part of its later costs, for lobbying and advertising costs incurred by Pepco or Exelon, related to the takeover acquisition, however you want to call it.

We therefore ask that Pepco [sic] reject their request for this \$76 -- that the PSC reject Exelon/Pepco's request for this \$76.8 million dollar 21.2 percent increase in distribution revenues, and to grant only what OPC finds to be fair and reasonable.

We believe that the PSC should scrutinize

all elements of the rate request and, additionally:

2.1

2.2

- (a) Deny the requested 13 percent in profits, which we believe would only benefit Exelon, the sole owner of Pepco stock, and instead, reduce the authorized rate of return on common equity, from the 10.6 percent they're requesting to the 8.6 percent recommended by OPC. We support that.
- (b) Use the full \$25.6 million customer base rate credit, the CBRC, that the PSC adopted in FC 1119, to provide bill credits that would effectively freeze rate increases to residential customers because the residential rate class, unlike the commercial rate class, does not have the ability to pass along these Exelon/Pepco rate increases to others;
- (c) Disapprove any part of the rate increase that would allow Exelon/Pepco to recover construction costs for projects, or assets not yet completed, or in operation to serve customers.

As an aside, in many formal cases ago that used to have construction work in progress, which it sounds like a similar thing to this, and when I was People's Council, we can, by doing load analysis, and

engineering analysis, and reliability analysis, show they didn't need to build the level of supply to meet their reliability requirements that they were asking for in the finances. And this just sounds like something that's similar.

2.1

2.2

(d) Deny any costs in the Exelon/Pepco rate case, that relate -- that was Formal Case 685, by the way, where that analysis was done in case you want -- the Commission wants to look at some of that work that was done -- anyway, deny any cost in the Exelon/Pepco rate request that relate to Exelon's takeover of Pepco, and expressively exclude those costs from any PSC-approved rate increase.

I just wanted to add one other thing. I mean, this Commission has spent a lot of time, imagination, energy and effort looking for other ways than just building new plants, through conservation and other means, and I would -- and I think the Ward 3 Committee, strongly believes this Commission should use every bit of its authority under Munn v. Illinois, to ensure that they have a reasonable return, but on the base that uses conservation and other means to

meet our energy needs, it often is far less expensive 1 2 than just building new plants. And we assume that there's a challenge to 3 4 the Commission because now this is sort of like a 5 piece of a big puzzle, that probably runs from the Atlantic to Chicago, and really separating out what is 6 7 flowing through and what isn't, is a challenge, but we 8 encourage the Commission to use every bit of its 9 authority to get to the bottom of it, in the best 10 interests of the economy and the residents and the 11 businesses of this City. Thank you very much. 12 MS. KANE: Thank you very much, Mr. Lederer. 13 We'll put your statement in the record and the

resolution.

15 MR. LEDERER: Thank you.

MS. KANE: Roderic Woodson?

(No audible response.)

18 MS. KANE: I didn't see Mr. Woodson come in

19 yet.

14

16

17

20

Miguel Ramirez? Mr. Ramirez.

2.1 MR. RAMIREZ: Good evening, Madame Chair and 2.2 members of the Commission. My name is Miguel Ramirez.

I and my partner have lived in Ward 6, at 607 3rd

Street, NE, for almost 15 years, since May 1, 2002, to

be exact.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

Over the last several years, I have noticed a positive difference in the consistency of my electric service and have also noticed that my electric bill has remained relative stable, especially when I compare it to other routine monthly bills, such as cable, water, gas, and even my newspaper subscription, which seem to keep increasing. Most important, I honestly can't remember the last time that we had an outage or experienced a flickering of lights, something which used to occur infrequently, but enough that I can recall.

In my community of Capitol Hill, or Capitol Hill North, or NoMA, the name has changed twice since we've been there, we are experiencing a huge influx of new residents and all types of new development: residential, retail and restaurants. All of which we enthusiastically welcome.

We have a new Giant down the street. We have a Harris Teeter that just opened on H and 6th. We have

2.1

2.2

Page 17

the Harris Teeter -- a Giant on the corner of 3rd and H, but this new development means more and more people needing more and more electricity to power their lights, their computers, their televisions, and their gadgets. I understand and support the need for Pepco to keep up.

I want an electric system that is reliable and keeps pace with our City and its changing needs. To my understanding, that is exactly what this rate case is about; Pepco making investments that allow it to keep pace with our changing City, maintaining and upgrading aging infrastructure. I am supportive of this work, and I'm supportive of paying my fair share, and I underscore "fair share."

I look to you, the Public Service

Commission, to do your due diligence, to make sure

that you grant Pepco what is fair for me and other

ratepayers, and at the same time fair to Pepco, so

that it can be encouraged to keep the City's electric

infrastructure up-to-date and state-of-the-art. Thank

you for your consideration. Thank you for your service

to the citizens of this City and thank you for your

continued strong oversight of this critical utility.

MS. KANE: Thank you very much, Mr. Ramirez.

Eric Grant?

2.0

2.1

MR. GRANT: Good evening, members of the Commission. I am Eric Grant, Vice President, of McKissack & McKissack, a national engineering architecture and construction management firm headquartered in Washington, DC. As a native Washingtonian, I'm especially grateful to be able to join you on behalf of McKissack this evening.

McKissack is an outgrowth of the nation's oldest African American-owned architecture firm.

Although we are most recently known for managing the construction of the new National Museum of African American History and Culture, the Martin Luther King Memorial, and the MGM Resort at National Harbor, our most essential and focused efforts are geared towards enhancing the lives of the residents of the District.

McKissack has worked on several projects contributing to the quality of life throughout the District of Columbia. As the program manager for the modernization of the District's Public Schools,

McKissack manages the construction and renovation of school facilities under the DC Partners for the Revitalization of Education Projects.

2.1

2.2

The program's commitment is to fully modernize 123 schools throughout the City, resulting in more than 12 million square feet of newly-constructed or renovated space. Dunbar High School is one of the success stories, having been recognized as the greenest school in the world; LEED Platinum certified, according to the U.S. Green Building Council.

McKissack's infrastructure and design practices have long served the District by working with Pepco, to design four substations, which are part of the generation transmission and distribution systems. Our affiliated company, McKissack Inspection Services, provides infrastructure inspection services for many of the region's utilities. A common and unyielding threat affecting infrastructure throughout the nation, whether it's a natural gas pipeline, water main, or electric transmission station, is age and deterioration.

2.1

Page 20

Some electric, gas, and water distribution systems have been delivering services to customers for almost a century. Delivering clean, efficient, and uninterrupted service to customers is the overriding priority for utilities, government agencies, regulators, and companies such as McKissack. Pepco and other utilities have made a conscientious effort, replacing and repairing their systems on a continuous basis, but these efforts are very costly.

When McKissack inspects, designs, or manages construction of utility infrastructures, our engineers and inspectors have a clear, unfettered view of the systems, and the people who are responsible for delivering safe and reliable service to customers.

Pepco customers can be assured that safety and reliability are the overriding priorities for the company. These goals are not compromised; however, considerable capital, operations and maintenance costs are involved.

The electric utility sector is capitalintensive, with many costs originating directly from
investments in the maintenance of power plants,

2.1

2.2

Page 21

transmission and distribution lines, also equipment and structures that are used to deliver electricity to customers. The recent work Pepco has performed to upgrade their technology and infrastructure has allowed the company to meet stringent reliability requirements, which directly benefit residents of the District.

McKissack and Pepco share the belief that clean energy is essential in providing a sustainable future. Just as McKissack has implemented renewable technology in the DC Public Schools, Pepco is committed to develop at least 7 megawatts of new solar energy and purchase 100 megawatts of wind energy. Pepco has long been a valuable contributor to the District, through its charitable giving to nonprofits serving the community.

Many Pepco employees live and raise families in these communities. The company has dedicated funding for workforce development to assist District residents in finding employment. Some McKissack employees are also residents of the City, and are Pepco customers. Rate increases are not undertaken in

a vacuum it's a cooperative process, balancing the needs of customers and costs to deliver services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

The two principles at the core of this process that remain the priority for Pepco and other utilities, are safety and reliability. Pepco lives these principles and has invested capital and resources to ensure that these principles are never compromised. The company has not filed for a rate adjustment since 2013.

We, at McKissack, witnessed the work Pepco has performed to strengthen and modernize their system. We witness this every day. We support their effort to seek a reasonable adjustment. Thank you.

MS. KANE: Thank you, Mr. Grant.

Kevin Clinton?

MR. CLINTON: Good evening. Members of the Commission, thank you for the opportunity to testify this evening at this community hearing. My name is Kevin Clinton. I'm the Chief Operating Officer of the Federal City Council.

We're a civic organization committed to the improvement of the District of Columbia.

MS. KANE: Mr. Clinton, it says on the signup 1 2 sheet that you're speaking for yourself, but you're 3 speaking on behalf of the Federal City Council? 4 MR. CLINTON: Yes. 5 MS. KANE: Thank you, very much. 6 MR. CLINTON: Thank you. 7 MS. KANE: Okay. 8 MR. CLINTON: The Federal City Council 9 weighed in publicly in support of the merger between 10 Exelon and Pepco because we believe that the 11 reliability and resiliency of the District's electric 12 supply system is critical to the excess of our 13 economy. A strong, financially-healthy electric 14 utility company provides jobs, contracting 15 opportunities and helps attract businesses to D.C. 16 We believed at the time that the merger 17 would infuse expertise and help create economies of 18 scale that would benefit Pepco's operations and over 19 the long term, reduce electricity costs to customers, while improving metrics of reliability and quality. 20 2.1 Some have argued that this latest rate increase 2.2 proposal is evidence that the merger is not resulting

in lower costs to customers, but we have a very different perspective, so it's my pleasure to share that with you this evening.

2.1

2.2

This is the first rate increase Pepco has filed since 2013. If approved, increases customers will see in June 2017, will come 3 years after their previous increases. A bill for a typical customer would increase by \$3.84. An increase of this amount for the average customer, their residential bill will still be lower than it was 5 years ago, due to the overall declines in the price of electricity.

And as you know quite well, even these increases could be offset by an allocation of \$25.6 million that Exelon and the Commission had allocated during the merger discussions, and depending on decisions as to how those offsets are allocated, could keep residential delivery rates flat through early 2019. But, why are increases needed at all?

And our belief is it's the importance of the electric infrastructure in the City. Since the last rate increase, Pepco's made investments of \$658 million in improvements, enhancements and

2.1

Page 25

modernizations to its distribution system, and it's seeking to recover just \$76.8 million of these investments through this increase. They've reduced -- these investments have reduced the number and length of power outages, delivered improved service to customers and enable the company to exceed your reliability standards in '13, '14, '15 and '16.

Power outages can be quite impactful to the economy, so these efforts have been very beneficial to D.C. businesses and customers. So we believe these upgrades are critical important -- of critical importance. Reliability is something you take for granted until it's gone. I think we can all take a look at D.C.'s experience with our Metro system, for an example of what happens when you don't invest in your existing infrastructure.

So, in addition to supporting these investment decisions, we also support and appreciate how Pepco goes about doing it, and they've set aside \$5 million for workforce development for District workers. As a commitment to D.C. businesses, they've spent \$47.8 million with certified business

1 enterprises.

2.1

Exelon is continuing Pepco's commitment to the community, donations of \$4.7 million in 2016, including both \$2.5 million from Pepco, and an additional \$19 million over 10 years from Exelon. So they're making investments in their community, in our community, and they are also making commitments and fulfilling their commitments to green energy through the development of 7 megawatts of new solar energy and the purchase of 100 megawatts of wind energy.

And then lastly, of considerable importance to D.C.'s business community, we think it's an important statement that Exelon has decided to keep and retain its corporate headquarters for Pepco in D.C., and retain the local management team to run the company. So thank you very much. I appreciate the opportunity to testify this evening.

MS. KANE: Thank you very much, Mr. Clinton.

I'll put your statement also in the record.

Wilma Harvey?

(No audible response.)

MS. KANE: I don't see Ms. Harvey. Okay.

	Page 27
1	Janice Zieria?
2	(No audible response.)
3	Geraldine Bell?
4	(Cell Phone Ring Tone Sounds)
5	MALE VOICE: Sorry.
6	MS. KANE: You're just doing some music for
7	Ms. Bell to come up to, right?
8	(Laughter)
9	MS. BELL: Special. Good evening Chairman
10	Kane, Commissioner Phillips, and Commissioner Beverly.
11	Congratulations Commissioner Beverly on your recent
12	appointment and to the Chairman Kane and Commissioner
13	Phillips, thank you for your continued service.
14	I am Geraldine Bell, a long-term resident of
15	Ward 7 in the District of Columbia. Thank you for the
16	opportunity to provide remarks on Pepco's proposed
17	rate increase. I have testified before the Public
18	Service Commission previously.
19	As shared at that time, I expressed that I
20	have been involved in community advocacy and
21	engagements for virtually all of my life, advocating
22	for those that are most vulnerable and ensuring

equality for communities that are not always treated and/or viewed equitably. Professionally, I am a retired budget analyst of the federal government.

2.1

I have traveled and lived abroad for many years, and have brought many of those experiences home for advanced -- to advance the interests of the community in which I reside. I am the current Vice Chair of the Parkside Civic Association and the Vice Chair of Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study, Pepco Community Advisory Group.

I have -- I view myself as having decent knowledge regarding the electric utility. I reside within the immediate vicinity of the Pepco Vending Service Center, and have followed matters regarding Pepco for a very long time, and I have very brief remarks this evening.

I have witnessed improved service, fewer outages and a reduced time in restoring service when outages do occur. I do recognize that their work continues. I would also like to acknowledge Pepco for being engaged in the community.

Earlier, I mentioned my service on the

Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Community
Advisory Group. This group has been constituted for
several years and meeting monthly in public settings.
This has been most helpful in the process.

2.1

Pepco's support for community initiatives is both recognized and appreciated. Of utmost concern for all, and I realize this, is making certain that Pepco keeps the lights on. I do know that the Commission holds Pepco accountable for doing so, and the Office of the People's Counsel is instrumentally involved in representing the customers' interests.

I ask that you continue to hold Pepco accountable and make a decision on this request that considers ongoing service improvements, while also being considerate of the impact on residents, specifically the most vulnerable. I testified in the past in support of the merger. I am very familiar that there is over \$20 million to help lower the impact of this increase on customers.

I do ask that this offset is applied to residential customers. Thank you for the opportunity to speak this evening. And again, thank you for your

-	
1	service.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

MS. KANE: Thank you, Ms. Bell.

And I am remiss. I, of course, referenced

4 Mr. Lederer, as his previous service as People's

5 | Counsel, but I want to recognize our current People's

Counsel, Sandra Mattavous-Frye, who is here.

MS. MATTAVOUS-FRYE: Thank you.

MS. KANE: And Ms. Sistric (phonetic) who is also with her. Thank you.

Let's see. Wen Liang?

MR. LIANG: Good evening, Chairman Kane,
Commissioner Phillips and Commissioner Beverly. Thank
you for convening an additional community hearing on
Pepco proposed rate adjustment. My name is Wen Liang.
I'm the Vice President of Anchor Construction.

For more than 30 years, Anchor Construction has been a trusted local contractor in construction to our government agencies, private organizations and commercial institutions throughout the D.C. and Baltimore regions. Anchor has been a contractor with Pepco for around 10 years. We received a construction contract through our competitive bidding process, and

since that time we have been executing construction projects for Pepco and its affiliate service companies.

2.1

As a D.C. based CBE, Certified Business
Enterprise, we specialize in year-round heavy and
civil construction projects. Typical projects we're
involved in are building new rehabilitation of old and
existing critical structure such as power system and
the water lines that support our daily lives. Through
us, grounded in excellence means that we go above, and
beyond, in every aspect of our business, which
parallels with Pepco's commitment to its operational
excellence.

Because of longstanding and a close relationship we have with Pepco, I understand personally how capital-intensive the regulated utility business is. Significant upfront investment must be made in order to provide and maintain the reliable electrical distribution service. While recovery for a portion of that borrowed capital comes years later, in a much detailed review, as a proceeding that is occurring before the Public Service Commission.

2.1

2.2

Page 32

I would like to, a brief cite, a 2016 report that related to this subject. In 2016, the National Capital Section of the American Society of Civil Engineers, CSCE/ASCE released the 2016 report cards for D.C.'s in structure [sic], which includes the District bridges, drinking water, energy, power and gas, levies, parks, rails, roads, schools, solid waste, transit and wastewater. They gave D.C. an average C grade. Also this grade is a bit higher than our national average, which is D.

We can't stop improving our aging in structure [sic]. To be a world class City, like all of us desire, we need to put more focus on resiliency, safety and reliability of our in structure, like the power grid. We need a better roads and a better underground structure for now and into the future, our children. On a personal note, as I grew up from a developing country, and witnessed how investing in structure transform a community and a city overseas.

I say to your honorable Commissioners, we need to continue investment here in our Nation's Capital, to attract the businesses, talents that we

allow this great city to continue grow into the future and to compete with other cities. Data has showing in terms of investment for the important in structure projects. It is cheaper to build now than to wait later years. We can't afford to miss this opportunity. Pepco has performed great work by significantly improving the reliability over the last few years. However, I do realize that there is related [sic] and important variables that must be considered, namely, the impact to the customer. I respectfully urge the Commission to reach a decision that allows the Pepco to continue its reliability improvement while maintaining a reasonable rate for the customer, who understandably concerned the raise in cost. Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments. MS. KANE: Thank you, Mr. Liang.

Community Hearing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

Steve Lanning?

MR. LANNING: Thank you, Commissioners for holding this additional public hearing on Pepco's request for a rate increase. My name is Steve Lanning, I'm a Ward 1 resident and former ANC Commissioner. I'm

employed by the Mid-Atlantic Regional Organizing
Coalition of the Laborers' International Union of
North America, or "LIUNA" for short.

2.1

I'm here today representing the views of LIUNA. We have more than 6500 members in the Washington, D.C. area, and many of our members are D.C. residents. LIUNA is predominantly a construction union whose members perform infrastructure and site utility work. LIUNA opposes Pepco's request for a rate increase.

Pepco does not have a procurement policy in place, that ensures equitable wages and benefits for workers employed by its outsource contractors, which Pepco identifies as its "reliability contractors."

This lack of wage and benefit standards has had a negative impact on D.C. residents who work for these reliability contractors. In our efforts to talk to these workers about the benefits of collective bargaining and unionization, the overwhelming majority of workers expressed fear or reprisals and retaliation by the respective employers.

LIUNA can provide documentation that shows

2.1

Page 35

the company's hostility toward the employees organizing. Pepco should have a procurement system that doesn't create an additional financial hardship for those employed by its reliability contractors. As the employees who work for Pepco's reliability contractors testify tonight, and will indicate Pepco's procurement system has created a race to the bottom approach of awarding contracts.

When a contractor pays below the minimum wage, then clearly the procurement system has failed. Pepco does not deserve a rate increase until this problem is fixed. Utilities in other jurisdictions that outsource a considerable portion of their construction work have found solutions. LIUNA believes that the best approach is to adopt the model that Exelon follows in Chicago of ComEd the electric utility for the Chicago area.

In Chicago, a collectively-bargained agreement is in place for all contracted out work. One of the biggest benefits to this approach is that the utility and the utility regulator know what part of a rate increase goes to the wages of the contracted-out

work force. This approach ensures a negotiated wage increase for contracted-out workers, not just the workers employed directly by the utility.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

It ensures that all frontline workers are treated fairly. By adopting this model, Pepco can ensure that D.C. residents employed by its own reliability contractors will be able to afford proposed rate increases. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

MS. KANE: Okay. Thank you, very much. Mr. Lanning, you referenced a model. Did you attach that to your testimony?

MR. LANNING: I did not, but I can certainly supply that, yes.

MS. KANE: Thank you.

MR. LANNING: We have that readily available.

MS. KANE: Thank you.

Okay. Zerihun Gaines? Mr. Gaines?

MR. GAINES: Thank you for having me here today at this hearing. Hello, my name is Zerihun Gaines. I'm a construction neighbor and I work for B. Frank Joy, one of Pepco's reliability contractors.

2.1

Page 37

I oppose this request for a rate increase. I work very hard. I need to arrive at work at 6:00 a.m. every morning before my job so I could get a dispatched job to go out to work. I stay on the job until the work is completed.

It's a very long and hard day for little pay. In fact, my employer who does a lot of work for Pepco, was paying me below D.C.'s minimum wage. They had to issue me back pay.

It wasn't a simple mistake. The company underpaid me for more than one year. The letter attached to my statement is the letter, the company admitted it was underpaying me.

I asked to look at the low wages the reliable contractors pay workers like me at B. Frank Joy. We receive no regular pay raise.

I live paycheck-to-paycheck. I can barely make ends meet. Direct employees of Pepco make a lot more than we do at B. Frank Joy, even though we all perform very similar work. D.C. water sites assess the wages for construction workers. Why can't Pepco do the same?

	Community Hearing April 12, 201
	Page 38
1	A living wage floor would protect workers
2	like me. Even though I do not work directly for Pepco,
3	I am helping to improve Pepco service. I do deserve
4	better treatment. Thank you.
5	MS. KANE: Thank you, Mr. Gaines. Mr.
6	Gaines, you referenced a letter that was attached to
7	your testimony. Have you turned that in to the
8	secretary?
9	MR. GAINES: (No audible response.)
10	MS. KANE: Okay. If you would turn it in, so
11	we'd have it for the record? Thank you.
12	Anthony Woods?
13	(No audible response.)
14	MS. KANE: Let me go back then to see has
15	Mr. Woodson come in? Roderic Woodson?
16	(No audible response.)
17	MS. KANE: No? Wilma Harvey? Janice Zieria?
18	(No audible response.)
19	MS. KANE: All right. Let me check with the
20	secretary. Do we have some additional people who've
21	signed up? Thank you.
22	All right. Frank Smith?

	lage 37
1	MR. SMITH: Thank you very much,
2	Councilwoman. I guess we'll always call you that, Ms.
3	Kane.
4	To the Commissioners that are here, I think
5	I've met Mr. Kelly before, I guess I have not met
6	before, but my name is Frank Smith. I'm the Ward 1
7	well, I'm a Ward 4 resident now, but for the last 49
8	years, I was a Ward 1 resident, and for 16 of those
9	years, I represented that Ward on the City Council
10	here, in the District of Columbia.
11	And in that role, I was the oversight person
12	for the Public Service Commission for many years. So,
13	I'm very familiar with your procedures and with your
14	responsibility to be fair and equitable in this
15	consideration. I applaud you for your work and wish
16	you Godspeed.
17	I come today as a private citizen in a role
18	that is entirely new for me, as I rise to support the
19	rate increase for the pending matter before the
20	Commission. I support the petition for several
21	reasons.

One is that Pepco's reliability has improved

22

over many years. I think everybody in the room who's been around for as long as I have, knows that. We've had a significant increase in the reliability of this organization over the last several years.

2.1

2.2

We have fewer power outages and when they do occur, they don't last very long, and I don't think I've seen one, certainly, in my neighborhood in Druid Park, in the last 15 or 20 years. The (inaudible) that includes a substantial amount of money to improve customer service and to make further improvements that will benefit the customers in the service area.

These improvements and benefits are to continue, and the company is to remain healthy. It may be necessary for the Commission to allow Pepco to have some increase from time to time. And I think you all know that the regulatory scheme that we put together is set up so that from time to time, you could -- you have to examine that issue and see what you need to do.

But the main reason why I rise today, is because I have firsthand knowledge of the fact that Pepco is a good corporate citizen. And although I come

to you here as a private citizen, many of you already know that in addition to being a Ward 1 Councilmember, I'm also the founding director of the African American Civil War Memorial and Museum.

2.1

Back in 1992, when we were just getting started out and no one else believed in us, Pepco gave us the small grant that we needed to go to the archives and get the names of 209,145 soldiers whose names now appear on the memorial wall at 10th and U Street, to build the nation's first monument to the African American soldiers in the Civil War. And from time to time since then, we've had some amounts of support for them.

And I know that the settlement between Pepco and Exelon sets up a fund for nonprofits to do great work here in the District of Columbia. And let me just say, as a matter of full disclosure, my name is not on that list. Although they have agreed to -- they have given us grants from time to time.

And so Pepco also, in addition to other things, is a good corporate citizen, and we have very few large corporations in the District of Columbia

that are corporate citizens. And I am pleased to know 1 that they have decided to keep their offices here, although there was some talk about them moving at one 4 time or another. So I come today to support this petition.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

You have to do your job. That's what we sent you down here for. I'm sure you will be fair and equitable in this. But I just wanted to let you know what I think. Thank you, very much.

MS. KANE: Thank you, very much, Mr. Smith, and thank you for all of your -- Councilmember Smith, for all of the work with the Civil War, the African American Civil War Museum. And so people know, Mr. Smith is going to be honored by the Historical Society of the District of Columbia, as the Annual Historian History Maker award next month.

(Applause.)

MR. SMITH: Thank you.

MS. KANE: Melanie Bailey?

MS. BAILEY: Good evening everyone. I want to thank you for allowing residents of the City to speak to this important issue. I'm a resident of 1231

1 Underwood Street, NW, D.C. I was raised in D.C. and
2 recently I retired and I live on a very limited
3 budget.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

Just like most people, I don't like seeing my expenses go up. However, over the last couple of years, I've seen the quality of my electric service improve and I don't want to see that change. I therefore support this request by Exelon/Pepco.

I watch the way I spend my money and I believe in this case, that this is a good investment of the little I have right now. I feel that if someone is doing a good job, they need to be treated fairly, just as I would want the same for myself.

If this request is what is needed to keep the lights on and for Exelon/Pepco to continue to expand and provide us with dependable and good service that I have seen, and my community and my neighbors have seen, I support it.

And I thank you for the time, for giving my comments. Take care.

- MS. KANE: Thank you, Ms. Bailey.
- MS. BAILEY: Thank you.

MS. KANE: Donna, is it Dowdy? Donna Dowdy?

2.1

2.2

MS. DOWDY: Good evening Chairperson Kane,
Commissioner Beverly and Commissioner Phillips. I hope
you all are having a good evening. My name is Donna
Dowdy, and I am a resident of Ward 5.

I'm also a homeowner and a small business owner, and have a small property management company.

One of the things that I used to seriously have a problem with, you know, with Pepco years ago, was with outages. You cannot imagine if there's an outage, in a building how many phone calls I would get.

It was just complete overload. My phone would just ring constantly. And these were people who needed their C-Pap's machines, you know they couldn't sleep without their C-Pap machines so they had life threatening, you know, illnesses and machines that they needed to have. And so they needed their electricity restored immediately.

And for some reason, they thought I had something to do with this, so they would lay me out.

And the calls would just come in, day after day, every minute, every hour that the electricity was out, I was

getting phone calls, a plethora of phone calls. And I was very, very overwhelming for me.

2.1

I have noticed, I am so pleased to say, that as of recent, as the underground wiring that you all have been doing or have done, it has been a huge success that I've noticed in my experience because the phone calls have stopped. I have not seen an outage in, I cannot remember how long it's been, and it's just been a catharsis almost, a breath of fresh air, that when there's a big storm or simple inclement weather, I feel you know a peace of mind in knowing that there probably won't be an outage.

So I don't know what you all are doing, but whatever it is, keep on doing it. The quality of customer service has increased 100 percent. There was a time when I used to call Pepco and get passed around. Everything is taken care of efficiently.

Your customer service people are accountable. They do what they -- if they say they're going to call you back in 5 minutes, they call you right back. They're very kind. They recommend solutions.

22

As we all know, Pepco's never wrong. Why --

my bill is \$100-plus a month, and I am home basically all -- well, let's say three fourth of a day on a Sunday, once I come home from church. And anyone who know me, I'm always out at a meeting, so I get home about nine o'clock, and I am still a working seasoned citizen, every day.

2.1

2.2

When you look at the utility bills for homeowners, especially the seasoned citizens, we're not going to be able to stay in place. When you add the electric, the gas, and the water, we're not going to be able to afford it. And Pepco's asking for an increase, without being here 2 years, involved 2 years.

So they did a good solicitation to get all the businesses to come to support them. Well, what about us poor people? Working people, our seasoned citizens, as all of us going to get seasoned, the millennium don't want us to be around anyway, so we have to fight the millennium.

We have to fight Pepco. We have to fight the gas company. We have to fight everything. I want -I'm asking this Board, when you read over the case,

please, I don't know how many seniors were able to get out, would you consider the seasoned citizens because we are definitely on a fixed income.

Not a working income, a fixed income. And the rate they're charging us, is ridiculous. I got a bill for 32 days. When do we get 32 days in a month? Then another bill, you see 34 days.

I'm asking you all to look into that. How do you get 32 and 34 days? And it's my understanding we have 30, we have 29, 30, and 31. Somebody needs to look into that, and I'm asking the Public Service Commission or the People's Counsel. I thank you.

(Applause.)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

MS. KANE: Thank you, Ms. Cuthbert. Thank you, very much.

David Jones?

MR. JONES: Good evening members of the Public Service Commission. Thank you for allowing me to testify today. My name is David Jones. I serve as the ANC Commissioner for 8C04 and today representing the entire AC -- ANC 8C.

I'm here today asking you to reject Pepco's

2.1

Page 49

application to increase its distribution rates. AN 8C has been advised by the Office of the People's Counsel about the facts and background of the rate case, and the need for this Commission to reject a majority of Pepco's requests for an increase.

Whereas, reliable, economical, electric service is essential to the quality of life for the citizens of ANC 8C and to the City as a whole, a majority benefit to ratepayers in this case, is the customer-based rate credit approved by the Public Service Commission as part of the Pepco/Exelon merger. The residents of 8C urge this Commission to allocate the entire balance of the CBRC to residential consumers because the residential rate class, unlike the commercial rate classes, lacks the ability to pass on the rate increase and the value of goods and services.

This rate increase in essentially utility services, is a financial hardship on low income consumers and seniors on fixed incomes. In our opinion, residential consumers are being asked to shoulder a share of the increase that is too large

compared to the larger ratepayers. Pepco should not be allowed to recover costs for construction projects that are not providing benefits to consumers.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

Therefore, ANC 8C hereby resolves to advise this Commission to grant Pepco no more of a rate increase as advised by the Office of the People's Counsel. Thank you.

MS. KANE: Thank you, very much. And Mr. Jones, that was a resolution passed by the Commission?

MR. JONES: Yes, it was.

MS. KANE: Okay. And if you would please supply a copy of the resolution for our record, thank you.

I believe our next group of speakers are [sic] going to be accompanied by a translator. Raymir Diaz? Will you be the translator? Yes, very good.

MR. DIAZ: Hello. Good afternoon. Good evening. I want to thank the Commission for allowing me the opportunity to speak today.

I'm Raymir Diaz. I'm an organizer with the Laborers' International Union, the Mid-Atlantic Region. And I wanted just to read the names of two

Page	5.	L
------	----	---

- 1 individuals, Freddy Sangonhu and Santos Miguel. They
- 2 both work for -- I'll just read off his name in here.
- We also work for the Pepco Reliability
- 4 | Contractor, Anchor. We support the statements by the
- 5 other workers who just spoke up. For these reasons, we
- 6 oppose the rate increase. And again, that was Santos
- 7 | S. Miguel and Freddy Sangonhu. So thank you very much.
- MS. KANE: Okay. And then Oswaldo Cruz, will
- 9 you be speaking for him, Mr. Cruz?
- MR. DIAZ: I believe Mr. Cruz will be
- 11 | represented by a coworker.
- 12 MS. KANE: Oh very good. Okay. Thank you.
- MR. DIAZ: No problem.
- MS. KANE: Thank you, Mr. Diaz.
- 15 Oswaldo Cruz?
- MR. CRUZ: Do you want us to read it just one
- 17 | time in English or do you want it in Spanish and then
- 18 in English?
- 19 MS. KANE: Read it in both.
- 20 MR. CRUZ: In both?
- MS. KANE: Yeah. Go ahead. Please. Yes, if
- 22 | you would read it in Spanish.

	Page 52
1	MR. CRUZ: Okay.
2	MS. KANE: Are you Mr. Cruz?
3	MR. CRUZ: Yes.
4	MS. KANE: Yes, Mr. Cruz.
5	MR. CRUZ: Yes.
6	MS. KANE: Yes, please proceed.
7	MR. CRUZ: (In Spanish.)
8	MR. OJITO: Okay. Dear Public Service
9	Commission, thank you for holding this hearing. I
10	oppose the rate increase. I work for a Pepco
11	reliability contractor and I want you to be aware of
12	my working conditions, as you look at Pepco's request.
13	Those of us who work for Pepco reliability
14	contractors are the backbone of Pepco's efforts to
15	improve its electric service. We are the ones you see
16	in the streets. It is hard, hard work.
17	There are direct Pepco employees who perform
18	similar work; however, there's a huge pay difference
19	between what they earn and what we earn working for a
20	Pepco reliability contractor. We earn a lot less. The
21	direct employees also have great health and retirement
22	benefits. We do not.

Page 33	age 50	3
---------	--------	---

	rage 33
1	We need wage and benefit standards in place.
2	This will make the contractors who win work from Pepco
3	pay their employee's decent wages with decent benefits
4	and this would really help workers like me. Sincerely
5	Oswaldo Cruz, Ward 1.
6	MS. KANE: Thank you, very much, Mr. Cruz,
7	Ward 1.
8	Ernest Ojito?
9	MR. OJITO: I'm his translator.
10	MS. KANE: That's you? All right, thank you,
11	very good.
12	And then I also had Rolando Blanco. Can you
13	translate Mr. Blanco?
14	MR. OJITO: In order to save time, I'm just
15	going to read it out for him. Is that fine?
16	MS. KANE: Yes, that's fine.
17	MR. OJITO: Okay. I'm here to oppose Pepco's
18	request
19	MS. KANE: But if Mr. Blanco wants to speak
20	for himself, please.
21	MR. OJITO: He prefers
22	MS. KANE: No?

1 MR. OJITO: He prefers --

MS. KANE: He prefers you read it? Go ahead.

3 Okay.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

MR. OJITO: I am here today to oppose Pepco's request for a rate increase. I work for a Pepco reliability contractor and I work very, very hard to help improve Pepco's service to its customers. Pepco is always getting rate increases.

The company says it needs more money to fix the system and make it better. When Pepco gets a rate increase, it includes the cost of living (inaudible) giving its workers raises. I get that.

But what people like me, who work for one of the contractors, we make a lot less. We do not receive regular cost of living increases. We're like a second shadow of class workers.

Higher utility bills mean we have less money for food and other household expenses. The contractors pay us as little as possible, in order to win contracts from Pepco. This needs to change.

The Public Service Commission needs to do something about this now. You need to step in and tell

	Page 55
1	Pepco to fix this. What would help workers is for
2	Pepco to set standards for pay and benefits so that
3	those contractors must follow. Thank you, sincerely
4	Rolando Blanco.
5	MS. KANE: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Blanco.
6	(Applause.)
7	MS. KANE: And William Ayala?
8	(No audible response.)
9	MS. KANE: Is Mr. Ayala here? Okay.
10	MR. AYALA: (In Spanish.)
11	MR. OJITO: He says he's going to speak in
12	Spanish
13	MS. KANE: Okay.
14	MR. OJITO: and I'm going to translate.
15	MS. KANE: Very good. And if you could speak
16	up, and make sure the microphone is right there,
17	you go. Thank you.
18	MR. AYALA: (In Spanish.)
19	MR. OJITO: My name is William Ayala, and I
20	work for a Pepco reliability contractor, Anchor
21	Construction. I work at Anchor, but I don't get paid
22	sick days, even though I work in the District. I don't

1	aet	holidays.	Т	don't	aet	vacation
_	900	HOTTUAYD.		aon c	900	vacacion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

It's hard to get an increase in pay. And we work very, very hard. We're the guys you see in the street working and we think that we need some help.

And we need you guys to make sure that we -- to know that we oppose the rate increase. Thank you.

MS. KANE: Okay. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MS. KANE: Thank you, Mr. Ojito for translating.

MR. OJITO: Ma'am?

MS. KANE: Yes?

MR. OJITO: Can I just say one thing?

MS. KANE: Absolutely.

MR. OJITO: A lot of these workers here today, are very scared, especially with their Vice Presidents here, and people of their companies. And I'd just like to put it on the record that the fact that they're scared and that people are taking down names of the workers that are here in case there's a retaliation. Thank you.

MS. KANE: Thank you. Thank you, very much.

	Page 57
1	Is there anyone else who has asked to speak?
2	(No audible response.)
3	MS. KANE: Okay. We're going to check if
4	anyone else? Thank you.
5	FEMALE VOICE: So it starts at Ingrid.
6	MS. KANE: Okay. Ingrid Reyes? Ingrid? Yep.
7	MS. REYES: Hello. Good evening. My name is
8	Ingrid Reyes. I also work for a Pepco reliability
9	contractor. I support the statements made by the other
10	workers who spoke. For these reasons, I oppose the
11	rate increase and I work for B. Frank Joy. Thank you.
12	MS. KANE: Thank you, Ms. Reyes.
13	(Applause.)
14	MS. KANE: Okay. Craig Carrington?
15	MR. CAVINGTON: Cavington.
16	MS. KANE: I'm sorry. Cavington, yes, sorry.
17	C-A-V-I-N-G-T-O-N, okay.
18	MR. CAVINGTON: My name is Craig Cavington. I
19	also work for B. Frank Joy. I agree with what she
20	said. I work for a reliability contractor.
21	I haven't had a raise in 6 years. I've been
22	there 6 years, no raise. We have to work long hours to

make our money. If we don't work long hours, we can't survive in the District of Columbia.

When I heard one lady speak about how good her power was working, it's working because Pepco don't do -- they have underground infrastructure they get it from the contractors. We dig in the ground. We put that pipe in the ground so they can -- so Pepco can run their wire in there.

Pepco doesn't do it. The contractors does [sic] it. So I oppose the rate increase. Thank you.

MS. KANE: Thank you.

(Applause.)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

MS. KANE: Charles Thomas?

MR. THOMAS: Good evening, Commissioners. I also -- my name is Charles Thomas -- I also work for a Pepco reliability contractor. And I also support the statements that has [sic] been made by other workers that have just spoke [sic] for the reasons I oppose the rate increase.

And one other reason is, is because we do flagging, and we have to make sure that, not only our contractors are safe in the street, but even Pepco

- Page 59 1 workers are safe in the street when they are working. 2 And sure, they get -- I have nothing against them, but 3 the thing is, is getting a pay increase. And our pay 4 stay the same for 9 and 10 years, just doesn't make no 5 sense. And the kind of hours that he just said, 6 7 that we have to put in, is sometimes 16 hours a day. 8 We work 7 days a week. We're on call for 24/7. We're 9 on call. 10 Pepco calls for the contractors, to send us 11 out. We get out of our beds, maybe one or two o'clock 12 in the morning, to go to work. So I really -- I oppose 13 this rate increase. And I really think that you should look into 14 15 it because we are workers. We are human beings. And we 16 need to survive as well as anyone else. Thank you.
- MS. KANE: Mr. Thomas, you said you did flagging?
- MR. THOMAS: Yes.
- MS. KANE: Is that -- which --?
- 21 | MALE VOICE: (Inaudible.)
- MR. THOMAS: As --

	Page 60
1	MS. KANE: Yes.
2	MR. THOMAS: that's
3	MALE VOICE: (Inaudible.)
4	MR. THOMAS: setting up traffic control.
5	MS. KANE: Right.
6	MR. THOMAS: Okay.
7	MR. KANE: I understand. I just hadn't heard
8	what you said. Thank you, Mr. Thomas.
9	(Applause.)
10	MS. KANE: Soyun Park? Ms. Park?
11	MS. PARK: Good evening. My name is Soyun
12	Park, and I'm here to represent owner operated small
13	businesses and microbusinesses in the District. At
14	this time, we oppose a rate increase.
15	We would prefer a rate freeze and fairness
16	in utility rates. And we'd like more time to examine
17	the proposal before any changes are made. The value of
18	owner operator enterprises within the District is that
19	they build community illustrative of the longtime and
20	native residents of the City.
21	Small businesses with fewer than 50, and the
22	vast majority with under 20 employees, are the

2.1

Page 61

cornerstone of, and a pathway to, the middle class and to economic self-determination and ownership for many of the City's residents, who would not otherwise have access to economic opportunities. Owner operator enterprises are almost always locally owned, locally operated and hired locally as well, building the economic wealth and civic participation of D.C.'s diverse communities.

They are also found in economically challenged and politically under represented neighborhoods, where there are few or sometimes no other businesses serving the area. The contribution to transitioning business districts targets the long term success of newly established homeowners and those who have been homeowners or residents for generations.

Owner operators grow up or live in these communities, many times around the corner from their shops. They frequent the same businesses as their neighbors. Their children attend school with other children in the community and they are actively engaged in civic processes.

Owner operators are part of the foundation,

2.1

Page 62

which build healthy blocks that make up a vibrant City. For these reasons, we ask that the District, the Public Service Commission, in its charge to represent all consumers of public utilities, and the utility company, itself, to prioritize the protection, the promotion, and the proliferation of locally owned, owner operator small businesses through fairness in rate classification, rate structure, usage to charges and tax credits, rebates and other programs available for this traditionally overlooked and historically under represented consumer community.

Three of the businesses that I work with, one is a bakery in Ward 1, in Columbia Heights, and she has been targeted by a third party supplier. And I think we've heard today, a lot of testimony from people who have been pleased with improvements in Pepco's service. It seems Pepco/Exelon has shifted a lot of their work to underpaid contractors and also to unregulated third party suppliers.

What we're finding, is while improvements may have been made with lower paid workers, which is unfair, third party suppliers are targeting small

2.1

2.2

Page 63

business owners and it's been impossible to get out of those contracts. We have a case right now in front of the Office of People's Counsel, but one of the things that our small businesses would like, is to understand the accountability and the recourse that we have for third party suppliers. And what accountability is there to Pepco, who is awarding these contracts or engaging with some of these third party suppliers.

Another shop that I work with is a flower shop in Ward 3, in Glover Park. And he's very interested in the inventory of programs that support small businesses, what they are and how accessible they are to small businesses because he knows of none, and he's been in the flower business for more than 30 years. So we'd also like time to have an inventory of all these programs, and understand how it supports small businesses and have a process to share whether or not there is actually ease of accessibility with those programs.

Another shop owner that I work with, is a drycleaner in Ward 6, and Mr. Kim has had two shops, both produce the same, I guess, usage. And both same

size shops did the same stuff, and there were dramatically different bills for the two stores. And so one of the other things that our small businesses are asking, is to understand not only the rate classification and the rate structure, that they're charged, but also how they're charged compared with other commercial consumers.

We are also concerned that this rate increase will exacerbate the unfair distribution that small businesses already bear, and so we oppose the rate increase. We would like a rate freeze until these things can be explained to the small business community. Thank you, very much.

(Applause.)

2.1

2.2

MS. KANE: Thank you, very much, Ms. Park.

Let me point out. We have here with us,

Maurice Smith, who is head of the Office of Consumer

Services for the Public Service Commission. I know you indicate you have a case before the People's Counsel, or with the People's Counsel, and that is their role also, but we do have an Office of Consumer Services here, which does outreach, and certainly both for the

particular concern about the third party suppliers or anything else.

2.1

2.2

I would ask that you maybe speak to Mr. Smith. We are able to come out to your meetings, to your organization and speak on many of these things.

Let me be clear to the public, that the third party retailers who are selling electricity, must be licensed by the Commission. They're not licensed by Pepco and they really have nothing to do with Pepco, except that they do billing. They can choose to do their billing through them.

But they are licensed by the Commission. We do have rules in place. We have standards in place. We have requirements in place, disclosures in place, et cetera.

And so we would -- I'm not saying we welcome complaints, but we are here. We are here to help people understand, including helping small businesses. So if you want to talk to Mr. Smith, we're happy to do that.

Okay. Sandra Seegers? Oh, I'm -- yeah, go ahead. Hello Ms. Seegers.

MS. SEEGERS: How are you doing?

2.1

2.2

MS. KANE: (No audible response.)

MS. SEEGERS: Hello everybody. I'm Sandra
Seegers, former ANC Commission in Ward 8, 1107 Savanah
Street, SE, Washington, D.C.

As a lifelong Pepco customer, I believe a rate increase of any amount is undue, unjust, and unfair. I believe the rate increase is not intended to improve services to its customers, but to increase salaries of the top staff, and increase shares for the shareholders. I believe the services rendered have not improved since the merger.

Any increase in small increments affects families and residential customers in a big way. This increase can be the difference in a senior paying for one or two prescriptions or being unable to pay for their electricity. This increase can be the difference in a family earning minimum wage, going without a breakfast or lunch.

For me, a customer on a fixed income, with a minimal cola (phonetic) means my entire cola will be spent on paying my electric bill. What happened to the

promise of no rate increase until 2019? Shame on the Public Service Commission for exposing my City to decades of higher rates and weakening its own ability to guide my City's energy future.

2.1

2.2

any rate increase before 2019, a class action lawsuit be filed charging Pepco with price gouging, psychological stress and abuse, and bait and switch, and name the Public Service Commission as a coconspirator.

I do believe that the millions of dollars that was expected to cushion the residential customers from rate increases until 2019, have indeed gone to credits for businesses or the federal government. I am asking this Commission to fulfill its mission and goals, that is set forth by its own constitution and serve the public interests.

I ask this Commission to see through clear glasses not rose-colored glasses, that Pepco residential customers are not getting all that it should at the current rate. I am asking this Commission to remember that Pepco is a monopoly.

2.1

2.2

Page 68

Therefore, I ask this Commission to vigorously
regulate this monopoly service to ensure that their
rates are reasonable for its residential customers,
which means no increase at this time.

For the sake of -- oh -- for the sake of the
D.C. residential customers, I am sincerely asking this
Commission to deny any increase of any amount at this
time, and do not do so until 2019. This concludes my
testimony.

(Applause.)

MS. KANE: Thank you, Ms. Seegers. Thank you.
John Tinpe? Is it T-I-N-P-E?

MR. TINPE: Good evening Madame Chair,

Commissioners. Thank you for holding this hearing. My
name is John Tinpe.

I'm the Chair of Advisory Neighborhood

Commission 2C, which borders east of 395, west of 15th

Street, south of Massachusetts Avenue, and north of

Independence Avenue. So if you take Ward 2, and cut it

right down in the middle, which is the White House,

the eastern part of it, is ANC 2C. So I'm here to

testify and read the resolution that was adopted by

1 | ANC 2C.

2.1

So I'll just read it out: "Government of the District of Columbia, Advisory Neighborhood Commission 2C Resolution Number 103.

Resolution of the Advisory Neighborhood

Commission 2C, Washington, D.C., and ANC 2C, advising

the Public Service Commission of the District of

Columbia, Commission to reject the majority of Potomac

Electric Power Company's Pepco's request to increase

rates.

Whereas, ANC 2C voted on March 13, 2017, at the regularly scheduled, duly noticed meeting, with a quorum of three of three Commissioners and the public present;

Whereas, ANC 2C voted 3, 0, 0, to advise the Commission to adopt the recommendations of the Office of the People's Counsel, OPC, and reject the majority of Pepco's requests for the rates increase.

Whereas, the Commission will make a decision this year, on Formal Case No. 1139, Pepco's obligation to increase its distribution rates;

Whereas, ANC 2C demands that the Commission

reject the majority of Pepco's requests for the rate increase;

2.1

Whereas, reliable and economic electric service is essential to the quality of life for the citizens of the District, the government of the District of Columbia has adopted standards of reliability for electrical service, the Commission require Pepco to meet the standards of pay, financial penalties;

Whereas, a major benefit to ratepayers in the case is a customer based rates, credits, CBRC approved by the Commission as part of the Pepco/Exelon merger, ANC 2C demands that the Commission allocate the entire balance of the CBRC to residential customers because the residential rate class, unlike the commercial rate class, lacks the ability to pass on the rate increase and the value of goods and services;

Whereas, continued annual increase in essential utilities of financial hardship on low income consumers and seniors on fixed income;

Whereas, Pepco should not be allowed to

Page	71
------	----

recover costs for construction projects that are not providing directly benefits to customers;

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

Whereas, Pepco's request for rates increase should be denied in the light of the fact that company executives and shareholders received large payments from the merger;

Whereas, Pepco's request should be denied on the grounds that it is inconsistent with economic factors;

Whereas, the Commission must ensure that Pepco's is in strict compliance with all the commitments of the merger and measures will be taken if a company is not in compliance, adopted the 14th day of March 2017."

Thank you very much.

MS. KANE: Thank you, Mr. Tinpe.

MR. TINPE: And I'll leave this.

MS. KANE: And if you would turn a written copy of that in, we'll have it for the record.

LaMonica Jeffrey?

MS. JEFFREY: Good evening. My name is

LaMonica Jeffrey, and I'm here, of course, for myself,

- and on behalf of my community as an advocate, and I'm 1 2 also an ANC Commissioner, single member of District 5C06. 3 4 Everyone here just about --5 MS. KANE: You went so fast 5C0? MS. JEFFREY: 06. 6 7 MS. KANE: 06 thank you. 8 MS. JEFFREY: Right. Just about stated why 9 they oppose the rate increase that Pepco is asking 10 for. I also, and members of my community oppose the 11 rate increase that Pepco is asking for, and to add 12 what everyone else has said onto what everyone else 13 has said, that community members where I live already have to apply for benefits every year to assist them 14 15 in help with their utility bills as it is. 16
 - So I think the rate increase is unfair and unjust. Thank you.

18 (Applause.)

17

20

2.1

22

19 MS. KANE: Thank you, very much, Ms. Jeffrey.

That concludes the list of people who have asked to speak. Is there anyone else who wishes to speak?

Page 73 1 (No audible response.) 2 CONCLUSION: MS. KANE: Commissioners? Okay. Well, I would 3 4 thank my fellow Commissioners. Thank you, all for coming this evening. 5 We'll remind the public that is listening 6 7 and watching that the record is open until close of 8 business on April 26. So anyone who wants to send in at this point, written comments, either by mail or by 9 10 email, to the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, still has an opportunity to have their 11 views on the record before the Commission makes a 12 13 decision in this case. Thank you, very much. This hearing is adjourned. 14 15 (Hearing adjourned at 7:29 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 2.2

Page 74

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC

I, Erick McNair, the officer before whom the foregoing proceeding was taken, do hereby certify that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

2.1

Erick McNair

Erick McNair

Notary Public in and for the

District of Columbia

Page 75 1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 2 I, Eve Jemison, do hereby certify that this 3 4 transcript was prepared from audio to the best of my ability. 5 6 7 I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this action, nor 8 9 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of 10 this action. 11 The Jemison 12 13 Eve Jemison, CET-744 14 April 17, 2017 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 2.1 22

[& - acquisition]

&	20.38 7:7	3rd 16:1 17:1	73 3:12
& 18:6	2002 16:2	4	744 75:14
	20036 1:16	4 11:16 39:7	76 12:18
0	2013 22:9 24:5	4.7 26:3	76.8 5:7 10:6
0 69:15,15	2015 12:11	41 2:19	12:19 25:2
06 72:6,7	2016 5:1 26:3 32:1	44 2:20	7:29 73:15
1	32:2,4	46 2:21	8
1 5:6 10:12 16:2	2017 1:12 4:11 5:6	47.8 25:22	8 5:11 46:12 66:4
33:22 39:6,8 41:2	8:4 24:6 69:11	48 2:22	8.6 13:6
53:5,7 62:13	71:14 75:14	49 39:7	800 1:16
10 2:12 26:5 30:21	2019 24:18 67:1,6	5	83.30 6:19 7:7
59:4	67:13 68:8		85.5 5:5
10.6 5:12 13:6	209,145 41:8	5 12:7 24:10 25:20	8c 46:12 48:21
100 21:13 26:10	21 10:7	44:5 45:20	49:1,8,12 50:4
45:15 47:1	21.2 12:20	50 3:1 46:17 60:21	8c04 48:20
103 69:4	21.24 5:8	52 3:2	9
10th 41:9	24 2:14	53 3:3	-
1107 66:4	24/7 59:8	55 3:4	9 10:4 59:4
1119 13:10	25 7:13	57 3:5	a
1139 1:6 4:5,16	25.6 13:8 24:13	59 3:6,7	a.m. 37:2
69:20	259 12:10	5c0 72:5	ability 13:13
12 1:12 4:11 19:6	26 8:4 73:8	5c06 72:3	49:15 67:3 70:16
123 19:5	27.62 6:21,22	6	74:7 75:5
1231 42:22	29 2:15 48:10	6 2:11 16:1 57:21	able 18:9 36:7
13 13:2 25:7 69:11	2c 68:17,21 69:1,4	57:22 63:21	46:19 47:9,11
1325 1:15	69:6,6,11,15,22	60 3:8	48:1 65:4
14 25:7	70:13	607 16:1	abroad 28:4
14th 71:13	3	62 3:9	absolutely 56:14
15 16:2 25:7 40:8	3 8:10,11,22 9:11	6500 34:5	abuse 67:8
15th 68:17	9:20 10:3 11:3	658 24:21	ac 48:21
16 25:7 39:8 59:7	14:18 24:6 63:10	66 10:14	access 61:4
16930 11:22	69:15	675 5:15 6:20	accessibility 63:18
17 2:13 75:14	3.84 5:14	68 3:10	accessible 63:12
17424 11:21	3.84. 24:8	685 14:7	accompanied
18160 12:8	30 5:1 30:16 48:10	6:00 37:2	50:15
19 26:5	48:10 63:14	6:05 1:14	accountability
1992 41:5	31 48:10	6th 16:22	63:5,6
2	32 2:16 48:6,6,9	7	accountable 29:9
2 10:15 47:12,12	34 48:7,9	7 21:12 26:9 27:15	29:13 45:19
68:19	35 2:17	59:8	accurate 74:6
2.5 26:4	361.5 5:10	7.24 6:22	acknowledge
20 29:18 40:8	38 2:18	70 3:11	28:20
60:22	395 68:17		acquisition 12:10
			12:15

action 67.6.74.0	20.5	2.2	agland 12.0 27.14
action 67:6 74:9	agencies 20:5	anne 2:3	asked 12:9 37:14
74:13 75:8,10	30:18	annual 42:15	49:21 57:1 72:21
active 9:13	aging 17:12 32:11	70:19	asking 14:3 47:11
actively 61:20	ago 13:19 24:10	anthony 38:12	47:22 48:8,11,22
add 14:14 47:9	44:9 46:15	anybody 8:1	64:4 67:15,21
72:11	agree 57:19	anyway 9:7 14:10	68:6 72:9,11
added 7:5	agreed 41:18	47:18	aspect 31:11
adding 10:19	agreement 35:19	appear 41:9	assess 37:20
addition 25:17	ahead 7:20,21	applaud 39:15	assets 13:17
41:2,20	51:21 54:2 65:22	applause 42:17	assist 21:19 72:14
additional 8:5	air 45:9	48:13 55:6 56:8	association 28:8
11:18 26:5 30:13	allocate 49:12	57:13 58:12 60:9	assume 15:3
33:20 35:3 38:20	70:13	64:14 68:10 72:18	assured 20:15
additionally 13:1	allocated 24:14,16	application 1:5	atlantic 15:6 34:1
adjourned 73:14	allocation 24:13	4:6 5:1,13 49:1	50:21
73:15	allow 13:16 17:10	applied 29:20	attach 36:11
adjustment 22:9	33:1 40:14	apply 72:14	attached 9:7 10:5
22:13 30:14	allowed 11:7,21	appointment	37:12 38:6
admitted 37:13	21:5 50:2 70:22	27:12	attend 61:19
ado 8:7	allowing 42:21	appreciate 25:18	attention 6:7
adopt 35:15 69:16	48:18 50:18	26:16	attorney 74:11
adopted 13:9	allows 33:12	appreciated 29:6	attract 23:15
68:22 70:6 71:13	amended 5:6	approach 35:8,15	32:22
adopting 36:5	america 34:3	35:20 36:1	audible 15:17
advance 28:6	american 18:12	approved 14:13	26:21 27:2 38:9
advanced 28:6	18:15 32:3 41:3	24:5 49:10 70:12	38:13,16,18 55:8
advertising 12:14	41:11 42:13	approves 67:5	57:2 66:2 73:1
advise 50:4 69:15	amount 5:4 6:8	approximate 6:19	audio 75:4
advised 49:2 50:6	7:9 24:8 40:9 66:7	approximately	authority 1:7 4:7
advising 69:6	68:7	5:8,14	5:2,10 8:15 14:20
advisory 28:10	amounts 41:12	april 1:12 4:11 8:4	15:9
29:2 68:16 69:3,5	analysis 13:22	46:17 73:8 75:14	authorized 11:19
advocacy 27:20	14:1,1,8	architecture 18:7	13:5
advocate 72:1	analyst 28:3	18:12	available 36:16
advocating 27:21	anc 33:22 46:12	archive 5:20	62:9
affiliate 31:2	48:20,21 49:8	archives 41:8	avenue 68:18,19
affiliated 19:16	50:4 66:4 68:21	area 34:6 35:17	average 6:18 24:9
afford 33:6 36:7	69:1,6,11,15,22	40:11 61:12	32:9,10
46:19 47:11	70:13 72:2	argued 23:21	award 42:16
african 18:12,14	anchor 30:15,16	arrive 37:2	awarding 35:8
41:3,11 42:12	30:20 51:4 55:20	art 17:20	63:7
afternoon 50:17	55:21	asce 32:4	aware 52:11
age 19:21	ann 1:19 4:10	aside 13:19 25:19	ayala 3:5 55:7,9
			55:10,18,19

b	beneficial 25:9	breath 45:9	case 1:6 4:5,16
b 13:8 36:21 37:15	benefit 13:3 21:6	brian 2:11 8:12	6:12 7:9,14 8:4,7
37:19 57:11,19	23:18 34:15 40:11	9:19	11:3,4,14 14:7,7,8
back 37:9 38:14	49:9 53:1 70:10	bridges 32:6	17:10 43:10 47:22
41:5 45:20,21	benefits 34:12,18	brief 28:15 32:1	49:3,9 56:20 63:2
46:9	35:20 40:12 50:3	brought 28:5	64:19 69:20 70:11
backbone 52:14	52:22 53:3 55:2	budget 28:3 43:3	73:13
background 4:20	71:2 72:14	build 14:2 33:4	cases 6:8 9:3
4:21 49:3	best 15:9 35:15	41:10 60:19 62:1	11:11 13:19
bailey 2:20 42:19	74:6 75:4	building 14:17	catharsis 45:9
42:20 43:21,22	better 32:15,15	15:2 19:10 31:7	cavington 3:7
bait 67:8	38:4 54:10	44:11 61:6	57:15,15,16,18,18
bakery 62:13	betty 1:19 2:3	business 10:20	cbe 31:4
balance 49:13	4:10	25:22 26:12 31:4	cbrc 13:9 49:13
70:14	beverly 1:20 2:5	31:11,17 44:6	70:11,14
balancing 22:1	4:13 27:10,11	61:13 63:1,14	cell 6:1 27:4
baltimore 30:20	30:12 44:3	64:12 73:8	center 28:14
barely 37:17	beyond 31:11	businesses 15:11	century 20:3
bargained 35:18	bidding 30:22	23:15 25:10,21	certain 29:7
bargaining 34:19	big 15:5 45:10	32:22 47:15 60:13	certainly 36:13
base 13:8 14:22	66:14	60:21 61:12,18	40:7 64:22
based 31:4 49:10	bigger 9:5	62:7,12 63:4,12	certificate 74:1
70:11	biggest 35:20	63:13,17 64:3,10	75:1
basically 47:1	bill 6:10,16,19,22	65:18 67:14	certified 19:10
basis 20:9	7:8,12,14 13:10	c	25:22 31:4
bass 10:3	16:7 24:7,9 46:18	c 2:1,9 4:1 13:15	certify 74:3 75:3
bear 64:10	46:20 47:1 48:6,7	32:9 44:14,15	cet 75:14
beds 59:11	66:22	57:17	cetera 65:15
began 1:14	billing 65:10,11	cable 16:9	chain 8:14
behalf 10:1 18:10	bills 7:6 16:8 47:7	call 10:9,15 12:15	chair 8:22 9:21
23:3 72:1	54:17 64:2 72:15	39:2 45:16,20,20	10:2,2 15:21 28:8
beings 59:15	bit 4:19 14:20	59:8,9	28:9 68:13,16
belief 21:8 24:19	15:8 32:9	called 7:20	chairman 1:19 2:3
believe 10:9 12:22	blanco 3:4 53:12	calls 44:11,21 45:1	4:11 27:9,12
13:3 23:10 25:10	53:13,19 55:4,5	45:1,7 59:10	30:11
43:10 50:14 51:10	blocks 62:1	capital 20:18,20	chairperson 44:2
66:6,8,11 67:11	board 9:1,21	22:6 31:16,20	challenge 9:3 15:3
believed 23:16	47:22	32:3,22	15:7
41:6	body 10:3	capitol 16:15,15	challenged 61:10
believes 14:19	borders 68:17	captioned 1:13	chance 9:19
35:14	borrowed 31:20	cards 32:4	change 43:7 54:20
bell 2:15 27:3,7,9	bottom 15:9 35:7	care 43:20 45:17	changed 16:16
27:14 30:2	breakfast 66:19	carrington 57:14	changes 60:17

changing 17:8,11	61:1 67:6 70:15	4:18 6:11 7:2,8	26:6,7,12 27:20
charge 6:21 62:3	70:16	9:18 10:6 12:5,12	28:7,10,21 29:1,5
charged 64:6,6	classes 49:15	14:9,15,19 15:4,8	30:13 32:19 43:17
charges 1:8 4:8	classification 62:8	15:22 17:16 18:5	60:19 61:20 62:11
5:3 6:17 7:5 62:8	64:5	22:17 24:14 27:18	64:13 72:1,10,13
charging 48:5	clean 20:3 21:9	29:8 31:22 33:12	companies 20:6
67:7	clear 20:12 65:6	39:12,20 40:14	31:3 56:17
charitable 21:15	67:18	48:12,18 49:4,11	company 1:6 4:7
charles 3:8 58:13	clearly 35:10	49:12 50:5,9,18	19:16 20:17 21:5
58:15	clinton 2:14 22:15	52:9 54:21 62:3	21:18 22:8 23:14
cheaper 33:4	22:16,19 23:1,4,6	64:18 65:8,12	25:6 26:16 37:10
check 38:19 57:3	23:8 26:18	66:4 67:2,5,9,15	37:12 40:13 44:7
chicago 15:6	close 8:4 31:14	67:18,22 68:1,7	47:21 54:9 62:5
35:16,17,18	73:7	68:17 69:3,6,7,8	71:4,13
chief 22:19	coalition 34:2	69:16,19,22 70:7	company's 35:1
children 32:17	cola 66:21,21	70:12,13 71:10	69:9
61:19,20	colleague 7:2	73:11,12	compare 16:8
choose 65:11	46:11	commissioner 2:4	compared 50:1
chosen 6:15	collective 34:18	2:5 4:12,13 27:10	64:6
church 47:3	collectively 35:18	27:10,11,12 30:12	compete 33:2
cite 32:1	colored 67:19	30:12 33:22 44:3	competitive 6:15
cities 33:2	columbia 1:2,15	44:3 48:20 72:2	30:22
citizen 39:17	4:5 5:4 18:21	commissioners	complaints 65:17
40:22 41:1,21	22:22 27:15 39:10	32:20 33:19 39:4	complete 44:12
47:6	41:16,22 42:15	46:11 58:14 68:14	completed 13:17
citizens 17:22 42:1	58:2 62:13 69:3,8	69:13 73:3,4	37:5
47:8,17 48:2 49:8	70:6 74:19	commitment 19:4	compliance 71:11
70:5	come 15:18 24:6	25:21 26:2 31:12	71:13
city 9:13,15 10:21	27:7 38:15 39:17	46:16	compromised
10:22 15:11 17:8	40:22 42:4 44:21	commitments	20:17 22:8
17:11,22 19:5	47:3,15 65:4	26:7,8 71:12	computers 17:4
21:21 22:20 23:3	comed 35:16	committed 21:12	concern 29:6 65:1
23:8 24:20 32:12	comes 31:20	22:21	concerned 33:15
32:19 33:1 39:9	coming 73:5	committee 8:10,12	64:8
42:21 49:8 60:20	comment 12:2	8:22 9:11,12,16	concludes 68:8
62:2 67:2	36:9	9:20 10:4 14:19	72:20
city's 17:19 61:3	comments 7:16,22	common 6:7 11:18	conclusion 73:2
67:4	8:6 33:16 43:20	11:20 13:5 19:18	conditions 52:12
civic 22:21 28:8	73:9	communities	congratulations
61:7,21	commercial 13:12	21:18 28:1 61:8	27:11
civil 31:6 32:3	30:19 49:15 64:7	61:17	conscientious 20:7
41:4,11 42:12,13	70:16	community 4:3,15	conservation
class 13:12,13	commission 1:1	4:16,19 16:15	14:17,22
32:12 49:14 54:16	1:15,20,21 4:4,12	21:16 22:18 26:3	

consider 48:2	58:16	64:20 69:17 74:8	d
considerable	contractors 34:13	74:11 75:7	d 4:1 14:6 32:10
20:18 26:11 35:13	34:14,17 35:4,6	country 32:18	d.c 1:11
considerate 29:15	36:7,22 37:15	couple 43:5	d.c. 1:16 23:15
consideration	52:14 53:2 54:14	course 30:3 71:22	25:10,21 26:15
7:17 17:21 39:15	54:18 55:3 58:6,9	coworker 51:11	30:19 31:4 32:8
considered 33:10	58:22 59:10 62:18	craig 3:7 57:14,18	34:6,7,16 36:6
considers 29:14	contracts 35:8	create 23:17 35:3	37:20 43:1,1 46:4
consistency 16:5	54:20 63:2,7	created 35:7	66:5 68:6 69:6
conspirator 67:10	contributing	credit 13:9 49:10	d.c.'s 25:14 26:12
constantly 44:13	18:20	credits 13:10 62:9	32:5 37:8 61:7
constituted 29:2	contribution	67:14 70:11	daily 31:9
constitution 67:16	61:12	critical 18:1 23:12	data 33:2
constructed 19:7	contributor 21:14	25:11,11 31:8	date 17:20
construction	control 60:4	cruz 3:3 51:8,9,10	david 3:1 48:16,19
13:16,20 18:7,14	convening 30:13	51:15,16,20 52:1	day 22:12 37:6
19:1 20:11 30:15	cooperative 22:1	52:2,3,4,5,7 53:5	44:21,21 47:2,6
30:16,17,21 31:1	copy 50:12 71:19	53:6	59:7 71:14
31:6 34:7 35:14	core 22:3	csce 32:4	days 48:6,6,7,9
36:21 37:21 50:2	corner 17:1 61:17	culture 18:15	55:22 59:8
55:21 71:1	cornerstone 61:1	current 5:9 28:7	dc 7:1 10:12 11:4
consumer 62:11	corporate 26:14	30:5 67:21	18:8 19:2 21:11
64:17,21	40:22 41:21 42:1	cushion 67:12	de 7:9
consumers 49:14	corporations	customer 5:15 6:9	deadline 8:5
49:20,21 50:3	41:22	6:10,13,18 13:8	dear 52:8
62:4 64:7 70:21	cost 14:10 33:15	24:7,9 33:11,14	deborah 8:9 10:2
context 7:8	54:11,15	40:10 45:15,18	decades 67:3
continue 29:12	costly 20:9	49:10 66:6,20	decent 28:11 53:3
32:21 33:1,13	costs 12:10,13,14	70:11	53:3
40:13 43:15	13:17 14:6,12	customers 6:15	decide 8:1
continued 18:1	20:18,21 22:2	12:8 13:11,18	decided 26:13
27:13 70:19	23:19 24:1 50:2	20:2,4,14,15 21:3	42:2
continues 28:20	71:1	21:22 22:2 23:19	decision 11:6,7,9
continuing 11:16	council 7:1,2,5	24:1,5 25:6,10	29:13 33:12 69:19
26:2	8:13 9:1 10:12	29:11,19,21 40:11	73:13
continuous 20:8	13:22 19:11 22:20	46:16 54:7 66:9	decisions 24:16
contract 30:22	23:3,8 39:9	66:14 67:12,20	25:18
contracted 35:19	councilmember	68:3,6 70:15 71:2	declines 24:11
35:22 36:2	41:2 42:11	cut 68:19	dedicated 21:18
contracting 23:14	councilwoman	cuthbert 2:22 46:7	dee 8:10
contractor 30:17	39:2	46:8,9,10,12	default 6:14
30:20 35:9 51:4	counsel 29:10 30:5	48:14	definitely 48:3
52:11,20 54:6	30:6 48:12 49:2		delegate 9:19
55:20 57:9,20	50:7 63:3 64:19		

deliver 21:2 22:2	direction 74:5	dowdy 2:21 44:1,1	24:20 28:12 35:16
delivered 25:5	directly 20:21	44:2,5 46:6	43:6 46:19 47:10
delivering 20:2,3	21:6 36:3 38:2	dramatically	49:6 52:15 66:22
20:14	71:2	10:10 64:2	69:9 70:3
delivery 6:17,21	director 41:3	draw 6:6	electrical 31:19
7:4 24:17	disapprove 13:15	drinking 32:6	70:7
demands 69:22	disclosing 12:5	driving 9:16	electricity 6:9,11
70:13	disclosure 41:17	druid 40:7	10:21 17:3 21:2
democratic 8:10	disclosures 65:14	drycleaner 63:21	23:19 24:11 44:18
8:11,22 9:11,20	discussions 24:15	due 17:16 24:10	44:22 65:7 66:17
10:3	dispatched 37:4	duly 69:12	elements 13:1
denied 10:15 71:4	distribution 1:9	dunbar 19:7	email 73:10
71:7	4:9 5:2,9,14 6:6	e	employed 34:1,13
deny 13:2 14:6,10	6:17,21 10:7,10		35:4 36:3,6 74:8
68:7	12:20 19:15 20:1	e 2:1,1,9 4:1,1 68:12	74:11 75:8
dependable 43:16	21:1 25:1 31:19	earlier 28:22	employee 74:10
depending 24:15	49:1 64:9 69:21	early 24:17	employee's 53:3
deserve 35:11	district 1:2,15 4:5	earn 5:10 52:19	employees 21:17
38:3	5:4 18:18,21	52:19,20	21:21 35:1,5
design 19:12,14	19:13 21:7,15,19	earning 66:18	37:18 52:17,21
designs 20:10	22:22 25:20 27:15	ease 63:18	60:22
desire 32:13	32:6 39:10 41:16	east 68:17	employer 37:7
detailed 31:21	41:22 42:15 55:22	eastern 68:21	employers 34:21
deterioration	58:2 60:13,18	economic 61:2,4,7	employment
19:22	62:2 69:3,7 70:5,6	70:3 71:8	21:20
determination	72:2 74:19	economical 49:6	enable 25:6
61:2	district's 18:22	economically 61:9	encourage 15:8
determine 11:9	23:11	economies 23:17	encouraged 17:19
develop 21:12	districts 61:13	economy 9:15	encouraging 46:3
developing 32:18	disturbed 46:13	15:10 23:13 25:9	ends 37:18
development	diverse 61:8	education 19:3	energy 9:14 14:16
16:18 17:2 21:19	documentation	effectively 13:10	15:1 21:9,13,13
25:20 26:9	34:22	efficient 20:3	26:8,9,10 32:6
diaz 3:2 50:16,17	doing 12:6 13:22	efficiently 45:17	67:4
50:20 51:10,13,14	25:19 27:6 29:9	effort 14:16 20:7	engaged 28:21
difference 16:5	43:12 45:5,13,14	22:13	61:21
52:18 66:15,17	46:3 66:1	efforts 18:17 20:9	engagements
different 24:2	dollar 12:19	25:9 34:17 52:14	27:21
64:2	dollars 67:11	either 73:9	engaging 63:8
difficult 10:17	donations 26:3	electric 1:6,9 4:7,8	engineering 14:1
dig 58:6	donna 2:21 44:1,1	5:3 6:10,13 10:7	18:6
diligence 17:16	44:4	10:10 16:6,7 17:7	engineers 20:11
direct 37:18 52:17	don't 25:15	17:19 19:21 20:1	32:4
52:21		20:20 23:11,13	

english 51:17,18	29:22 30:11 42:20	experience 11:10	female 8:16 57:5
enhancements	44:2,4 46:9 48:17	25:14 45:6	fewer 28:17 40:5
24:22	50:18 57:7 58:14	experienced 16:12	60:21
enhancing 18:18	60:11 68:13 71:21	experiences 28:5	fight 47:19,20,20
ensure 14:21 22:7	73:5	experiencing	47:21
36:6 68:2 71:10	everybody 40:1	16:17	figure 9:5
ensures 34:12	66:3	expertise 23:17	filed 5:1 22:8 24:5
36:1,4	evidence 11:17	explained 64:12	67:7
ensuring 27:22	23:22	exposing 67:2	filings 12:11
enterprise 31:5	evidentiary 4:17	expressed 27:19	final 4:19
enterprises 26:1	4:17	34:20	finances 14:4
60:18 61:5	exacerbate 64:9	expressively 14:12	financial 10:17
enthusiastically	exact 16:3	f	12:6 35:3 49:19
16:20	exactly 17:9	facilities 19:2	70:8,20
entire 10:3 48:21	examine 40:18	fact 37:7 40:21	financially 23:13
49:13 66:21 70:14	60:16	56:18 71:4	74:12 75:9
entirely 39:18	example 25:15	factors 71:9	finding 21:20
entity 11:12,12,15	exceed 25:6	facts 49:3	62:20
environment 10:1	excellence 31:10	failed 35:10	finds 12:21
equality 28:1	31:13	fair 12:21 17:13	fine 53:15,16
equipment 21:1	excess 23:12	17:14,17,18 39:14	firm 18:7,12
equitable 34:12	exchange 12:12	42:7	first 5:22 7:18 8:9
39:14 42:8	exclude 14:12	fairly 36:5 43:12	11:4,14 24:4
equitably 28:2	executing 31:1	fairness 60:15	41:10
equity 5:12 11:19	executive 9:1,21	62:7	firsthand 40:21
11:20 12:3 13:5	executives 71:5	familiar 4:21 7:3	fix 54:9 55:1
era 12:3	exelon 10:6 11:12	29:17 39:13	fixed 11:2 35:12
eric 2:13 18:3,5	11:18,19 12:7,14	families 21:17	48:3,4 49:20
erick 74:2,17	12:19 13:3,14,16	66:14	66:20 70:21
ernest 53:8	14:6,10 23:10	family 66:18	flagging 58:21
especially 11:3	24:14 26:2,5,13	far 15:1	59:18
16:7 18:9 47:8	35:16 41:15 43:8	fast 72:5	flat 24:17
56:16	43:15 46:14 49:11	fc 13:9	flickering 16:12
esq 2:6	62:17 70:12	fear 34:20	floor 38:1
essential 18:17	exelon's 11:8 12:9	feasibility 28:9	flower 63:9,14
21:9 49:7 70:4,20	14:11	29:1	flowing 15:7
essentially 49:18	existing 1:7 4:8	february 5:6	focus 32:13
established 61:14	5:2 25:16 31:8	federal 22:20 23:3	focused 18:17
et 65:14 eve 75:3,14	expand 43:16	23:8 28:3 67:14	follow 55:3 followed 28:14
· ·	expected 67:12	fee 7:4	follows 35:16
evening 4:3 7:19 15:21 18:4,10	expenses 43:5 54:18	feel 43:11 45:11	food 54:18
22:16,18 24:3		feet 19:6	force 9:22 10:2
26:17 27:9 28:16	expensive 15:1	fellow 73:4	36:1
20.17 27.9 20.10			JU.1

foregoing 74:3	geared 18:17	granted 25:13	heard 58:3 60:7
formal 1:6 4:5,15	generation 19:15	grants 41:19	62:15
4:17 13:19 14:7	generations 61:15	grateful 9:17 18:9	hearing 1:13 4:4
69:20	geraldine 2:15	great 33:1,7 41:15	4:15,19 6:3 9:18
former 7:2 8:12	27:3,14	52:21	22:18 30:13 33:20
9:21 33:22 66:4	getting 41:5 45:1	green 8:18 19:10	36:20 52:9 68:14
forth 67:16	54:8 59:3 67:20	26:8	73:14,15
forward 46:3	giant 16:21 17:1	greenest 19:9	hearings 4:16,17
found 35:14 61:9	give 46:16	grew 32:17	4:18 5:20,22 6:6
foundation 61:22	given 41:19	grid 32:15	heating 10:22
founding 41:3	giving 9:18 21:15	ground 58:6,7	heavy 31:5
four 4:16 19:14	43:19 54:12	grounded 31:10	heights 62:13
fourth 47:2	glasses 67:19,19	grounds 71:8	held 4:18
frank 2:19 7:3	glover 63:10	group 28:10 29:2	hello 36:20 50:17
36:22 37:15,19	go 8:2,7,19 31:10	29:2 50:14	57:7 65:22 66:3
38:22 39:6 57:11	37:4 38:14 41:7	grow 33:1 61:16	help 23:17 29:18
57:19	43:5 51:21 54:2	guess 39:2,5 63:22	53:4 54:7 55:1
freddy 51:1,7	55:17 59:12 65:21	guide 67:4	56:4 65:17 72:15
freeze 13:11 60:15	goals 20:17 67:16	guys 56:3,5	helpful 29:4
64:11	godspeed 39:16	h	helping 38:3 65:18
frequent 61:18	goes 25:19 35:22	h 16:22 17:2	helps 23:15
fresh 45:9	going 42:14 45:20	half 46:15	hereto 74:12
front 63:2	47:9,10,17 50:15	happened 66:22	high 19:7 46:20
frontline 36:4	53:15 55:11,14	happens 25:15	higher 32:9 54:17
frye 2:6 30:6,7	57:3 66:18	happy 65:19	67:3
fulfill 67:15	good 4:3 15:21	harbor 18:16	hike 10:7
fulfilling 26:8	18:4 22:16 27:9	hard 9:5 37:2,6	hill 16:15,16
full 13:8 41:17	30:11 40:22 41:21	52:16,16 54:6	hired 61:6
fully 19:4	42:20 43:10,12,16	56:2,3	historian 42:15
fund 41:15	44:2,4 46:7,9	hardship 10:17	historical 42:14
funding 21:19	47:14 48:17 50:16	35:3 49:19 70:20	historically 62:10
further 8:7 40:10	50:17,17 51:12	harris 16:22 17:1	history 18:15
74:10	53:11 55:15 57:7	harvey 26:20,22	42:16
future 11:11 21:10	58:3,14 60:11	38:17	hold 29:12
32:16 33:1 67:4	68:13 71:21	head 64:17	holding 9:18
g	goods 49:16 70:17	headquartered	33:20 52:9 68:14
g 1:15 4:1 57:17	gouging 67:7	18:8	holds 29:9
gadgets 17:5	government 20:5	headquarters	holidays 56:1
gaines 2:18 36:18	28:3 30:18 67:14	26:14	home 28:5 47:1,3
36:18,19,21 38:5	69:2 70:5	health 52:21	47:4
38:6,9	grade 32:9,9	healthy 23:13	homeowner 44:6
gas 6:12 16:9	grant 2:13 12:21	40:13 62:1	homeowners 47:8
19:20 20:1 32:7	17:17 18:3,4,5	hear 7:18,22	61:14,15
47:10,21	22:14 41:7 50:5	, -	

honestly 16:11	improvements	increases 13:11,14	introduction 4:2
honor 9:2	24:22 29:14 40:10	21:22 24:5,7,13	inventory 63:11
honorable 32:20	40:12 62:16,20	24:18 36:8 54:8	63:15
honored 42:14	improving 23:20	54:15 67:13	invest 25:15
hope 44:3	32:11 33:8	increasing 16:10	invested 22:6
hostility 35:1	inaudible 8:16	46:4	investigation 28:9
hour 44:22	40:8 54:11 59:21	increments 66:13	29:1
hours 5:16 6:20	60:3	incurred 12:12,14	investing 32:18
57:22 58:1 59:6.7	inclement 45:10	independence	investment 25:18
house 46:19 68:20	included 6:3	68:19	31:17 32:21 33:3
household 54:18	includes 32:5 40:9	indicate 35:6	43:10
huge 16:17 45:5	54:11	64:19	investments 17:10
52:18	including 5:11	individuals 51:1	20:22 24:21 25:3
human 59:15	26:4 65:18	influx 16:17	25:4 26:6
i	income 48:3,4,4	infrastructure	involved 20:19
-	49:19 66:20 70:21	17:12,20 19:12,17	27:20 29:10 31:7
identifies 34:14	70:21	19:19 21:4 24:20	47:12
illinois 14:20	incomes 11:2	25:16 34:8 58:5	issue 7:14 9:13,16
illnesses 44:16	49:20	infrastructures	11:1 37:9 40:18
illustrative 60:19	inconsistent 71:8	20:11	42:22
imagination 14:16	increase 1:7 4:7	infrequently	i'm 36:21 46:3,10
imagine 44:10	5:2,7,13 10:10,14	16:13	46:12,13 48:11
immediate 28:13	10:16,16 11:19	infuse 23:17	•
immediately	12:2,20 13:15	ingrid 3:6 57:5,6,6	J
44:18	14:13 23:21 24:4	57:8	janice 27:1 38:17
impact 29:15,18	24:8,8,21 25:3	initiatives 29:5	jeffrey 3:12 71:20
33:11 34:16	27:17 29:19 33:21	inspection 19:16	71:21,22 72:6,8
impactful 25:8	34:10 35:11,22	19:17	72:19
implemented	36:2 37:1 39:19	inspectors 20:12	jemison 75:3,14
21:10	40:3,15 46:14	inspects 20:12	job 37:3,4,4 42:6
importance 24:19	47:12 49:1,5,16	institutions 30:19	43:12
25:12 26:11	49:18,22 50:6	instrumentally	jobs 23:14
important 7:10,10	· ·	_	john 3:11 68:12
I	51.6 52.10 54.5	29.10	
11:1,3 16:11	51:6 52:10 54:5 54:11 56:2 6	29:10 intended 66:8	68:15
25:11 26:13 33:3	54:11 56:2,6	intended 66:8	join 18:10
25:11 26:13 33:3 33:10 42:22	54:11 56:2,6 57:11 58:10,19	intended 66:8 intensive 20:21	join 18:10 jones 3:1 48:16,17
25:11 26:13 33:3 33:10 42:22 impossible 63:1	54:11 56:2,6 57:11 58:10,19 59:3,13 60:14	intended 66:8 intensive 20:21 31:16	join 18:10 jones 3:1 48:16,17 48:19 50:9,10
25:11 26:13 33:3 33:10 42:22 impossible 63:1 impression 11:14	54:11 56:2,6 57:11 58:10,19 59:3,13 60:14 64:9,11 66:7,8,9	intended 66:8 intensive 20:21 31:16 interest 10:11	join 18:10 jones 3:1 48:16,17 48:19 50:9,10 joy 36:22 37:16,19
25:11 26:13 33:3 33:10 42:22 impossible 63:1 impression 11:14 improve 38:3 40:9	54:11 56:2,6 57:11 58:10,19 59:3,13 60:14 64:9,11 66:7,8,9 66:10,13,15,17	intended 66:8 intensive 20:21 31:16 interest 10:11 11:16,17 12:4	join 18:10 jones 3:1 48:16,17 48:19 50:9,10 joy 36:22 37:16,19 57:11,19
25:11 26:13 33:3 33:10 42:22 impossible 63:1 impression 11:14 improve 38:3 40:9 43:7 52:15 54:7	54:11 56:2,6 57:11 58:10,19 59:3,13 60:14 64:9,11 66:7,8,9 66:10,13,15,17 67:1,6 68:4,7 69:9	intended 66:8 intensive 20:21 31:16 interest 10:11 11:16,17 12:4 interested 63:11	join 18:10 jones 3:1 48:16,17 48:19 50:9,10 joy 36:22 37:16,19 57:11,19 june 5:1 24:6
25:11 26:13 33:3 33:10 42:22 impossible 63:1 impression 11:14 improve 38:3 40:9 43:7 52:15 54:7 66:9	54:11 56:2,6 57:11 58:10,19 59:3,13 60:14 64:9,11 66:7,8,9 66:10,13,15,17 67:1,6 68:4,7 69:9 69:18,21 70:2,17	intended 66:8 intensive 20:21 31:16 interest 10:11 11:16,17 12:4 interested 63:11 74:12 75:9	join 18:10 jones 3:1 48:16,17 48:19 50:9,10 joy 36:22 37:16,19 57:11,19 june 5:1 24:6 jurisdictions
25:11 26:13 33:3 33:10 42:22 impossible 63:1 impression 11:14 improve 38:3 40:9 43:7 52:15 54:7 66:9 improved 25:5	54:11 56:2,6 57:11 58:10,19 59:3,13 60:14 64:9,11 66:7,8,9 66:10,13,15,17 67:1,6 68:4,7 69:9 69:18,21 70:2,17 70:19 71:3 72:9	intended 66:8 intensive 20:21 31:16 interest 10:11 11:16,17 12:4 interested 63:11 74:12 75:9 interests 15:10	join 18:10 jones 3:1 48:16,17 48:19 50:9,10 joy 36:22 37:16,19 57:11,19 june 5:1 24:6 jurisdictions 35:12
25:11 26:13 33:3 33:10 42:22 impossible 63:1 impression 11:14 improve 38:3 40:9 43:7 52:15 54:7 66:9 improved 25:5 28:17 39:22 66:12	54:11 56:2,6 57:11 58:10,19 59:3,13 60:14 64:9,11 66:7,8,9 66:10,13,15,17 67:1,6 68:4,7 69:9 69:18,21 70:2,17 70:19 71:3 72:9 72:11,16	intended 66:8 intensive 20:21 31:16 interest 10:11 11:16,17 12:4 interested 63:11 74:12 75:9 interests 15:10 28:6 29:11 67:17	join 18:10 jones 3:1 48:16,17 48:19 50:9,10 joy 36:22 37:16,19 57:11,19 june 5:1 24:6 jurisdictions 35:12 justification 12:6
25:11 26:13 33:3 33:10 42:22 impossible 63:1 impression 11:14 improve 38:3 40:9 43:7 52:15 54:7 66:9 improved 25:5	54:11 56:2,6 57:11 58:10,19 59:3,13 60:14 64:9,11 66:7,8,9 66:10,13,15,17 67:1,6 68:4,7 69:9 69:18,21 70:2,17 70:19 71:3 72:9	intended 66:8 intensive 20:21 31:16 interest 10:11 11:16,17 12:4 interested 63:11 74:12 75:9 interests 15:10	join 18:10 jones 3:1 48:16,17 48:19 50:9,10 joy 36:22 37:16,19 57:11,19 june 5:1 24:6 jurisdictions 35:12

k	knowledge 28:12	lifelong 66:6	lot 14:15 37:7,18
	40:21 74:7	light 8:18 71:4	52:20 54:14 56:15
kane 1:19 2:3 4:3	knowledgeable	lighting 10:22	62:15,18
4:10 8:17 15:12	46:1	lights 16:13 17:4	low 11:17 12:3
15:16,18 18:2	known 18:13	29:8 43:15	37:14 49:19 70:20
22:14 23:1,5,7	knows 40:2 63:13	limited 11:2 43:2	lower 24:1,10
26:18,22 27:6,10	1	lines 21:1 31:9	29:18 62:21
27:12 30:2,8,11		list 8:7 41:18	lunch 66:19
33:17 36:10,15,17	1 1:21 4:13	72:20	luther 18:15
38:5,10,14,17,19	laborers 34:2	listening 73:6	m
39:3 42:10,19	50:21	little 4:19 8:17	
43:21 44:1,2 46:6	lack 34:15	12:1 37:6 43:11	ma'am 56:11
48:14 50:8,11	lacks 49:15 70:16	46:13 54:19	machines 44:14
51:8,12,14,19,21	lady 58:3	liuna 34:3,5,7,9,22	44:15,16
52:2,4,6 53:6,10	lamonica 3:12	35:14	madame 15:21
53:16,19,22 54:2	71:20,22	live 5:20,22 21:17	68:13
55:5,7,9,13,15	lanning 2:17	37:17 43:2 46:19	mail 73:9
56:7,9,12,14,22	33:18,19,21 36:11	61:16 72:13	main 19:21 40:20
57:3,6,12,14,16	36:13,16	lived 16:1 28:4	maintain 31:18
58:11,13 59:17,20	large 41:22 49:22	lives 18:18 22:5	maintaining 17:11
60:1,5,7,10 64:15	71:5	31:9	33:14
66:2 68:11 71:16	larger 50:1	living 38:1 54:11	maintenance
71:18 72:5,7,19 73:3	lastly 26:11	54:15	20:18,22
	latest 23:21	load 13:22	major 70:10
keep 16:10 17:6	laughter 27:8	lobby 7:22	majority 34:19
17:11,19 24:17	lawsuit 67:6	lobbying 12:13	49:4,9 60:22 69:8
26:13 42:2 43:14	lay 44:20	local 26:15 30:17	69:17 70:1
45:14	leave 71:17	locally 61:5,5,6	maker 42:16
keeps 17:8 29:8	lederer 2:11 8:11	62:6	making 17:10
kelly 39:5	8:12,14,21 9:19	long 5:21 12:4	26:6,7 29:7
kevin 2:14 22:15	15:12,15 30:4	19:13 21:14 23:19	male 27:5 59:21
22:19	leed 19:9	27:14 28:15 37:6	60:3
kilowatt 5:16 6:20 kim 63:21	left 4:13	40:2,6 45:8 57:22	management 18:7
kind 45:21 59:6	length 25:4	58:1 61:13	26:15 44:7
king 18:15	letter 37:11,12	longstanding	manager 18:21
king 18:15 know 8:14 10:20	38:6 level 14:2	31:14	manages 19:1 20:10
24:12 29:8 35:21	levels 11:17	longtime 60:19	
40:16 41:2,14	levies 32:7	look 14:9 17:15	managing 18:13 march 10:4 69:11
42:1,8,13 44:9,14		25:14 37:14 46:18	71:14
44:16 45:11,13	liang 2:16 30:10 30:11,14 33:17	47:7 48:8,11	martin 18:15
46:2,22 47:4 48:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52:12 59:14	
56:5 64:18	licensed 65:8,9,12 life 18:20 27:21	looked 7:11 10:13	mary 2:22 46:7,10 46:12
knowing 45:11		looking 9:6 14:16	
Millowing 45.11	44:15 46:4 49:7		massachusetts
	70:4		68:18

mattavous 2:6	meter 46:20	month 5:14,16	needing 17:3
30:6,7	metrics 23:20	6:20 42:16 47:1	needs 15:1 17:8
matter 1:4,13 4:6	metro 25:14	48:6	22:2 48:10 54:9
7:17 11:6 39:19	mgm 18:16	monthly 6:19 16:8	54:20,21
41:17	microbusinesses	29:3	negative 34:16
matters 28:14	60:13	monument 41:10	negotiated 36:1
maurice 64:17	microphone 8:19	morning 37:3	neighbor 36:21
mckissack 18:6,6	55:16	59:12	neighborhood
18:10,11,19 19:1	mid 34:1 50:21	move 46:3	40:7 68:16 69:3,5
19:16 20:6,10	middle 61:1 68:20	moving 42:3	neighborhoods
21:8,10,20 22:10	miguel 2:12 15:20	munn 14:20	61:11
mckissack's 19:12	15:22 51:1,7	museum 18:14	neighbors 43:17
menair 74:2,17	millennium 47:18	41:4 42:13	61:19
mean 7:9 10:19	47:19	music 27:6	neither 74:8 75:7
14:15 54:17	million 5:5,7,10	n	never 22:7 46:22
means 14:18,22	10:7 12:10,19		new 11:15 14:17
17:2 31:10 66:21	13:8 19:6 24:14	n 2:1,9,9 4:1 57:17	15:2 16:18,18,21
68:4	24:22 25:2,20,22	57:17 68:12	17:2 18:14 21:12
measures 71:12	26:3,4,5 29:18	name 15:22 16:16	26:9 31:7 39:18
meet 14:2 15:1	millions 67:11	22:18 30:14 33:21	newly 19:6 61:14
21:5 37:18 70:8	mind 45:11	36:20 39:6 41:17	newspaper 16:9
meeting 29:3 47:4	minimal 66:21	44:4 48:19 51:2	nine 47:5
69:12	minimis 7:9	55:19 57:7,18	noise 6:2,4
meetings 65:4	minimum 35:9	58:15 60:11 67:9	noma 16:16
megawatts 21:12	37:8 66:18	68:15 71:21	nonprofits 21:15
21:13 26:9,10	minute 44:22	names 41:8,9	41:15
melanie 2:20	minutes 45:20	50:22 56:20	north 16:16 34:3
42:19	mission 67:15	narrowly 11:5,7	68:18
member 7:3 9:20	mistake 37:10	nation 19:20	northwest 1:16
9:22 72:2	misunderstanding	nation's 18:11	notary 74:1,18
members 15:22	6:7	32:21 41:10	note 32:17
18:4 22:16 34:5,6	model 35:15 36:5	national 18:6,14	noticed 16:4,6
34:8 48:17 72:10	36:11	18:16 32:2,10	45:3,6 69:12
72:13	modernization	native 18:8 60:20	number 25:4 69:4
memorial 18:16	18:22	natural 19:20	numbers 10:13
41:4,9	modernizations	ne 16:2	nw 43:1
mentioned 28:22	25:1	necessary 40:14 need 11:18 14:2	0
merged 46:15	modernize 19:5		
merger 23:9,16,22	22:11	17:5 32:13,15,21	o 2:9 4:1 57:17
24:15 29:17 49:11	money 40:9 43:9	37:2 40:18 43:12 49:4 53:1 54:22	o'clock 47:5 59:11 obligation 69:20
66:12 70:13 71:6	54:9,17 58:1		occur 16:13 28:19
71:12	monopoly 67:22	56:4,5 59:16 needed 24:18 41:7	40:6
met 39:5,5	68:2		
		43:14 44:14,17,17	occurring 31:22

[offer - pepco] Page 12

offer 6:13	opportunities	overwhelming	70:8
office 10:12 29:9	23:15 61:4	34:19 45:2	paycheck 37:17
49:2 50:6 63:3	opportunity 22:17	owned 18:12 61:5	37:17
64:17,21 69:16	26:17 27:16 29:21	62:6	paying 17:13 37:8
73:10	33:6,16 36:8	owner 13:4 44:7	66:15,22
officer 22:19 74:2	50:19 73:11	60:12,18 61:4,16	payments 71:5
offices 1:14 42:2	oppose 37:1 51:6	61:22 62:7 63:20	pays 6:9 35:9
offset 24:13 29:20	52:10 53:17 54:4	owners 63:1	peace 45:11
offsets 24:16	56:6 57:10 58:10	ownership 61:2	penalties 70:9
oh 51:12 65:21	58:18 59:12 60:14	р	pending 39:19
68:5	64:10 72:9,10	p 2:1,1 4:1 68:12	penny 7:10
ojito 52:8 53:8,9	opposes 34:9	p.m. 1:14 73:15	people 4:20 7:10
53:14,17,21 54:1	order 12:8 31:18	pace 17:8,11	7:11,18,19 9:12
54:4 55:11,14,19	53:14 54:19	paid 55:21 62:21	17:2 20:13 38:20
56:9,11,13,15	orders 11:21	pap 44:15	42:13 43:4 44:13
okay 23:7 26:22	organization	pap' 44.13 pap's 44:14	45:18 47:16,16
36:10,18 38:10	22:21 40:4 65:5	parallels 31:12	54:13 56:17,19
50:11 51:8,12	organizations	park 3:9 40:8	62:16 65:18 72:20
52:1,8 53:17 54:3	30:18	60:10,10,11,12	people's 8:13 9:1
55:5,9,13 56:7	organizer 50:20	63:10 64:15	10:12 13:22 29:10
57:3,6,14,17 60:6	organizing 34:1	parks 32:7	30:4,5 48:12 49:2
65:21 73:3	35:2	parkside 28:8	50:6 63:3 64:19
old 31:7	originating 20:21	part 9:11 12:9,13	64:20 69:17
oldest 18:12	oswaldo 3:3 51:8	13:15 19:15 35:21	pepco 5:1,6,10,12
once 7:13 47:3	51:15 53:5	49:11 61:22 68:21	6:15 9:15 11:8,12
ones 52:15	outage 16:12	70:12	11:18,19 12:7,14
ongoing 29:14	44:10 45:7,12	participation 61:7	12:17 13:4,14,16
opc 12:21 13:7	outages 25:5,8	particular 65:1	14:6,10,12 17:5
69:17	28:18,19 40:5	particularly 9:4	17:10,17,18 19:14
open 73:7	44:10	parties 74:9,11	20:6,15 21:3,8,11
opened 16:22	outcome 74:13	75:8	21:14,17,22 22:4
operated 60:12	75:9	partner 16:1	22:5,10 23:10
61:6	outgrowth 18:11	partners 19:2	24:4 25:19 26:4
operating 22:19	outreach 64:22	party 62:14,19,22	26:14 28:10,13,15
operation 13:18	outsource 34:13	63:6,8 65:1,7	28:20 29:7,9,12
operational 31:12	35:13	pass 13:13 49:15	30:14,21 31:2,15
operations 20:18	overall 24:11	70:16	33:7,12 34:11,14
23:18	overload 44:12	passed 10:4 45:16	35:2,11 36:5 37:8
operator 60:18	overlooked 62:10	50:9	37:18,21 38:2,3
61:4 62:7	overriding 20:4	pathway 61:1	40:14,22 41:6,14
operators 61:16	20:16	pay 7:10 12:9 37:7	41:20 43:8,15
61:22	overseas 32:19	37:9,15,16 52:18	44:9 45:16 46:14
opinion 49:21	oversight 18:1	53:3 54:19 55:2	47:20 49:11 50:1
	39:11	56:2 59:3,3 66:16	50:5 51:3 52:10
		50.2 57.5,5 00.10	

52:13,17,20 53:2	pipe 58:7	present 9:3 69:14	proliferation 62:6
54:5,7,10,20 55:1	pipeline 19:20	president 18:5	promise 67:1
55:2,20 57:8 58:4	place 34:12 35:19	30:15	promotion 62:6
58:7,9,16,22	47:9 53:1 65:13	presidents 56:17	property 44:7
59:10 62:17 63:7	65:13,14,14	previous 11:21	proposal 23:22
65:9,10 66:6 67:7	plan 11:17	24:7 30:4	60:17
67:19,22 70:8,12	plants 14:17 15:2	previously 27:18	proposed 10:6,16
70:22 72:9,11	20:22	price 24:11 67:7	27:16 30:14 36:8
pepco's 10:6 12:19	platinum 19:9	principles 22:3,6	protect 38:1
23:18 24:21 26:2	please 48:1 50:11	22:7	protection 62:5
27:16 29:5 31:12	51:21 52:6 53:20	priorities 20:16	provide 13:10
33:20 34:9 35:5,6	pleased 42:1 45:3	prioritize 62:5	27:16 31:18 33:16
36:22 39:22 46:22	62:16	priority 20:5 22:4	34:22 43:16
47:11 48:22 49:5	pleasure 24:2	private 30:18	provided 6:14
52:12,14 53:17	plethora 45:1	39:17 41:1	provides 19:17
54:4,7 62:17 69:9	plus 12:10 47:1	probably 15:5	23:14
69:18,20 70:1	point 64:16 73:9	45:12	providing 21:9
71:3,7,11	policy 34:11	problem 35:12	50:3 71:2
percent 5:8,11,12	politically 9:13	44:9 51:13	psc 11:5,7,13,21
7:13 10:7,14	61:10	procedures 39:13	12:8,18,22 13:9
12:20 13:2,6,6	poor 47:16	proceed 5:17 52:6	14:13
45:15	portion 6:8 7:12	proceeding 31:21	psc's 11:9
perform 34:8	31:20 35:13	74:3	psychological
periorin 54.0	31.20 33.13	14.5	psychological
37:20 52:17	positive 16:5	proceedings 11:13	67:8
-			
37:20 52:17	positive 16:5	proceedings 11:13	67:8
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3	positive 16:5 possible 54:19	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7 person 39:11	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7 person 39:11 personal 32:17	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7 person 39:11 personal 32:17 personally 31:16	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7 person 39:11 personal 32:17 personally 31:16 persons 5:17 7:16 perspective 24:2	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5 58:4 69:9	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10 produce 63:22	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18 49:10 52:8 54:21
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7 person 39:11 personal 32:17 personally 31:16 persons 5:17 7:16 perspective 24:2 petition 39:20	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5 58:4 69:9 practices 19:13	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10 produce 63:22 professionally	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18 49:10 52:8 54:21 62:3,4 64:18 65:6
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7 person 39:11 personal 32:17 personally 31:16 persons 5:17 7:16 perspective 24:2 petition 39:20 42:5	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5 58:4 69:9 practices 19:13 precedent 11:11	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10 produce 63:22 professionally 28:2	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18 49:10 52:8 54:21 62:3,4 64:18 65:6 67:2,5,9,17 69:7
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7 person 39:11 personal 32:17 personally 31:16 persons 5:17 7:16 perspective 24:2 petition 39:20 42:5 phillips 1:21 2:4	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5 58:4 69:9 practices 19:13 precedent 11:11 11:14	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10 produce 63:22 professionally 28:2 profits 13:3	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18 49:10 52:8 54:21 62:3,4 64:18 65:6 67:2,5,9,17 69:7 69:13 73:6 74:1
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7 person 39:11 personal 32:17 personally 31:16 persons 5:17 7:16 perspective 24:2 petition 39:20 42:5 phillips 1:21 2:4 4:14 27:10,13	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5 58:4 69:9 practices 19:13 precedent 11:11 11:14 preceding 4:16	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10 produce 63:22 professionally 28:2 profits 13:3 program 18:21	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18 49:10 52:8 54:21 62:3,4 64:18 65:6 67:2,5,9,17 69:7 69:13 73:6 74:1 74:18
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7 person 39:11 personal 32:17 personally 31:16 persons 5:17 7:16 perspective 24:2 petition 39:20 42:5 phillips 1:21 2:4 4:14 27:10,13 30:12 44:3	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5 58:4 69:9 practices 19:13 precedent 11:11 11:14 preceding 4:16 predominantly	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10 produce 63:22 professionally 28:2 profits 13:3 program 18:21 program's 19:4	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18 49:10 52:8 54:21 62:3,4 64:18 65:6 67:2,5,9,17 69:7 69:13 73:6 74:1 74:18 publicly 23:9
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5 58:4 69:9 practices 19:13 precedent 11:11 11:14 preceding 4:16 predominantly 34:7	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10 produce 63:22 professionally 28:2 profits 13:3 program 18:21 program's 19:4 programs 62:9	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18 49:10 52:8 54:21 62:3,4 64:18 65:6 67:2,5,9,17 69:7 69:13 73:6 74:1 74:18 publicly 23:9 purchase 21:13
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7 person 39:11 personal 32:17 personally 31:16 persons 5:17 7:16 perspective 24:2 petition 39:20 42:5 phillips 1:21 2:4 4:14 27:10,13 30:12 44:3 phone 27:4 44:11 44:12 45:1,1,7	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5 58:4 69:9 practices 19:13 precedent 11:11 11:14 preceding 4:16 predominantly 34:7 prefer 60:15	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10 produce 63:22 professionally 28:2 profits 13:3 program 18:21 program's 19:4 programs 62:9 63:11,16,19	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18 49:10 52:8 54:21 62:3,4 64:18 65:6 67:2,5,9,17 69:7 69:13 73:6 74:1 74:18 publicly 23:9 purchase 21:13 26:10
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5 58:4 69:9 practices 19:13 precedent 11:11 11:14 preceding 4:16 predominantly 34:7 prefer 60:15 prefers 53:21 54:1	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10 produce 63:22 professionally 28:2 profits 13:3 program 18:21 program's 19:4 programs 62:9 63:11,16,19 progress 13:20	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18 49:10 52:8 54:21 62:3,4 64:18 65:6 67:2,5,9,17 69:7 69:13 73:6 74:1 74:18 publicly 23:9 purchase 21:13 26:10 push 8:18
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3 22:11 33:7 person 39:11 personal 32:17 personally 31:16 persons 5:17 7:16 perspective 24:2 petition 39:20 42:5 phillips 1:21 2:4 4:14 27:10,13 30:12 44:3 phone 27:4 44:11 44:12 45:1,1,7 phones 6:1 phonetic 30:8	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5 58:4 69:9 practices 19:13 precedent 11:11 11:14 preceding 4:16 predominantly 34:7 prefer 60:15 prefers 53:21 54:1 54:2	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10 produce 63:22 professionally 28:2 profits 13:3 program 18:21 program's 19:4 programs 62:9 63:11,16,19 progress 13:20 projects 13:17	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18 49:10 52:8 54:21 62:3,4 64:18 65:6 67:2,5,9,17 69:7 69:13 73:6 74:1 74:18 publicly 23:9 purchase 21:13 26:10 push 8:18 put 15:13 26:19
37:20 52:17 performed 21:3	positive 16:5 possible 54:19 potomac 1:5 4:6 69:8 power 1:6 4:7 17:3 20:22 25:5,8 31:8 32:6,15 40:5 58:4 69:9 practices 19:13 precedent 11:11 11:14 preceding 4:16 predominantly 34:7 prefer 60:15 prefers 53:21 54:1 54:2 prepared 75:4	proceedings 11:13 74:4,6 process 22:1,4 29:4 30:22 63:17 processes 61:21 procurement 34:11 35:2,7,10 produce 63:22 professionally 28:2 profits 13:3 program 18:21 program's 19:4 programs 62:9 63:11,16,19 progress 13:20 projects 13:17 18:19 19:3 31:2,6	67:8 public 1:1,14 4:4 4:12 8:3 9:17,18 10:5,11 17:15 18:22 21:11 27:17 29:3 31:22 33:20 39:12 48:11,18 49:10 52:8 54:21 62:3,4 64:18 65:6 67:2,5,9,17 69:7 69:13 73:6 74:1 74:18 publicly 23:9 purchase 21:13 26:10 push 8:18 put 15:13 26:19 32:13 40:16 56:18

	10.11 11.16 12.4	74.6	24.14 17 25.4 5
q	10:11 11:16 12:4 24:17 49:1 60:16	74:6	34:14,17 35:4,5
quality 18:20		recorded 74:4	36:7,22 39:22
23:20 43:6 45:14	67:3 68:3 69:10	recording 6:3	40:3 51:3 52:11
46:4 49:7 70:4	69:18,21 70:11	recourse 63:5	52:13,20 54:6
quite 24:12 25:8	71:3	recover 13:16	55:20 57:8,20
quorum 69:13	raymir 3:2 50:15	25:2 50:2 71:1	58:16 70:7
r	50:20	recovery 31:19	reliable 17:7
r 2:1 4:1	reach 33:12	reduce 13:4 23:19	20:14 31:18 37:15
race 35:7	read 9:9,10 46:20	reduced 25:3,4	49:6 70:3
rails 32:7	47:22 50:22 51:2	28:18 74:5	remain 22:4 40:13
raise 21:17 33:15	51:16,19,22 53:15	referenced 30:3	remained 16:7
37:16 57:21,22	54:2 68:22 69:2	36:11 38:6	remarks 27:16
raised 43:1	readily 36:16	regarding 28:12	28:16
raises 54:12	realize 29:7 33:9	28:14	remedial 28:9
ramirez 2:12	really 15:6 53:4	region 50:22	29:1
	59:12,14 65:9	region's 19:18	remember 16:11
15:20,20,21,22 18:2	reason 40:20	regional 34:1	45:8 67:22
rate 5:11 6:7 7:8	44:19 58:20	regions 30:20	remind 5:19 8:3
	reasonable 12:21	regular 37:16	73:6
7:14 10:16 11:3,4	14:21 22:13 33:14	54:15	remiss 30:3
11:10,11,20 13:1	68:3	regularly 69:12	rendered 66:11
13:5,9,11,12,12	reasons 10:12,22	regulate 68:2	renewable 21:10
13:14,15 14:6,11 14:13 17:9 21:22	39:21 51:5 57:10	regulated 6:11	renovated 19:7
	58:18 62:2	31:16	renovation 19:1
22:8 23:21 24:4	rebates 62:9	regulator 35:21	repairing 20:8
24:21 27:17 30:14	recall 16:14	regulators 20:6	replacing 20:8
33:14,21 34:9	receive 37:16	regulatory 11:12	report 32:1,4
35:11,22 36:8	54:14	40:16	reported 12:9,10
37:1 39:19 46:13	received 30:21	rehabilitation	represent 60:12
48:5 49:3,10,14	71:5	31:7	62:3
49:15,16,18 50:5	recognize 28:19	reject 10:6 12:17	represented 5:12
51:6 52:10 54:5,8	30:5	12:18 48:22 49:4	39:9 51:11 61:10
54:10 56:6 57:11	recognized 19:8	69:8,17 70:1	62:11
58:10,19 59:13	29:6	relate 14:7,11	representing 5:8
60:14,15 62:8,8	recommend 10:13	related 12:14 32:2	8:10,11 29:11
64:4,5,8,11,11	45:21	33:9 74:8 75:7	34:4 46:10,12
66:7,8 67:1,6,13	recommendations	relationship 31:15	48:20
67:21 70:1,15,16	69:16	relative 16:7	represents 6:16,21
70:17 72:9,11,16	recommended	74:10	6:22
ratepayers 11:4	13:7	released 32:4	reprisals 34:20
11:10 17:18 49:9	record 4:10,22 8:4	reliability 14:1,3	request 5:7 10:9
50:1 70:10	11:16 15:13 26:19	20:16 21:5 22:5	12:18,19 13:1
rates 1:8 4:8 5:3,3	38:11 50:12 56:18	23:11,20 25:7,12	14:11 29:13 33:21
5:14 9:14,14 10:8	71:19 73:7,12	32:14 33:8,13	34:9 37:1 43:8,14

52:12 53:18 54:5	responsibility	room 40:1	30:10 38:14 40:18
69:9 71:3,7	39:14	rose 67:19	43:7 46:7 48:7
requested 10:14	responsible 20:13	round 31:5	52:15 56:3 67:18
13:2	restaurants 16:19	routine 16:8	seegers 3:10 65:21
requesting 5:2	restored 44:18	rules 65:13	65:22 66:1,3,4
13:6	restoring 28:18	run 26:15 58:8	68:11
requests 5:10 49:5	resulting 19:5	runs 15:5	seeing 43:4
69:18 70:1	23:22	S	seek 22:13
require 70:8	retail 1:8 4:8	s 2:1,9 4:1 51:7	seeking 12:2 25:2
required 12:7	16:19	safe 20:14 58:22	seeks 5:7 11:19
requirements 14:3	retailers 65:7	59:1	seen 40:7 43:6,17
21:6 65:14	retain 26:14,15	safety 20:15 22:5	43:18 45:7
reside 28:7,12	retaliation 34:20	32:14	self 61:2
resident 10:21	56:21	sake 68:5,5	sell 11:18
27:14 33:22 39:7	retired 28:3 43:2	salaries 66:10	selling 65:7
39:8 42:22 44:5	retirement 52:21	sandra 2:6 3:10	send 59:10 73:8
residential 5:15	return 5:11,11	30:6 65:21 66:3	senior 66:15
6:10,13,18 13:11	11:20 12:2 13:5	sangonhu 51:1,7	seniors 11:2 48:1
13:12 16:19 24:9	14:21	santos 51:1,6	49:20 70:21
24:17 29:21 49:13	revenues 5:9	savanah 66:4	sense 59:5
49:14,21 66:14	12:20	save 53:14	sent 42:6
67:12,20 68:3,6	review 31:21	saying 65:16	separating 15:6
70:14,15	revitalization 19:3	says 8:18 23:1	seriously 44:8
residents 10:18 15:10 16:18 18:18	reyes 3:6 57:6,7,8 57:12	54:9 55:11	serve 13:18 48:19 67:17
21:6,20,21 29:15	richard 1:20 2:5	scale 23:18	served 19:13
34:7,16 36:6	4:13	scared 56:16,19	service 1:1,9,14
42:21 46:4 49:12	ridiculous 48:5	scheduled 69:12	4:4,9,12 5:4 6:14
60:20 61:3,15	right 4:12 7:4 27:7	scheme 40:16	6:14 9:17 10:5
resiliency 23:11	38:19,22 43:11	school 19:2,7,9	16:6 17:15,21
32:13	45:21 46:21 53:10	61:19	20:4,14 25:5
resolution 9:7,10	55:16 60:5 63:2	schools 18:22 19:5	27:13,18 28:14,17
10:4 15:14 50:9	68:20 72:8	21:11 32:7	28:18,22 29:14
50:12 68:22 69:4	ring 27:4 44:13	scrutinize 12:22	30:1,4 31:2,19,22
69:5	rise 39:18 40:20	se 66:5	38:3 39:12 40:10
resolves 50:4	risk 12:5	seasoned 47:5,8	40:11 43:6,16
resort 18:16	roads 32:7,15	47:16,17 48:2 second 54:15	45:15,18 48:11,18
resources 22:7	roderic 15:16	secretary 7:21 8:2	49:7,11 52:8,15
respectfully 33:11	38:15	38:8,20 73:10	54:7,21 62:3,17
respective 34:21	rolando 3:4 53:12	section 32:3	64:18 67:2,5,9
response 15:17	55:4	section 32.3 sector 20:20	68:2 69:7 70:4,7
26:21 27:2 38:9	role 39:11,17	securities 12:11	services 5:3 19:17
38:13,16,18 55:8	64:20	see 7:2 8:2 12:6	19:17 20:2 22:2
57:2 66:2 73:1		15:18 24:6 26:22	49:17,19 64:18,21

	T	T	T
66:9,11 70:18	similar 13:21 14:5	speak 8:2 9:19	stores 64:2
serving 21:16	37:20 52:18	29:22 42:21 50:19	stories 19:8
61:12	simple 37:10	53:19 55:11,15	storm 45:10
set 7:1,5,8 11:11	45:10	57:1 58:3 65:3,5	strange 12:1
25:19 40:17 55:2	sincerely 53:4	72:21,22	stream 5:19
67:16	55:3 68:6	speakers 50:14	street 1:15 16:2,21
sets 11:14 41:15	single 72:2	speaking 23:2,3	41:10 43:1 56:4
setting 60:4	sistric 30:8	51:9	58:22 59:1 66:5
settings 29:3	sit 8:1	special 27:9	68:18
settlement 41:14	site 34:8	specialize 31:5	streets 52:16
shadow 54:16	sites 37:20	specifically 29:16	strengthen 22:11
shame 67:1	size 64:1	spend 43:9	stress 67:8
share 17:13,14	skills 74:7	spent 14:15 25:22	strict 71:11
21:8 24:2 49:22	sleep 44:15	66:22	stringent 21:5
63:17	small 41:7 44:6,7	split 11:5	strong 18:1 23:13
shared 27:19	60:12,21 62:7,22	spoke 51:5 57:10	strongly 14:19
shareholders	63:4,12,13,17	58:18	structure 31:8
66:11 71:5	64:3,10,12 65:18	spoken 7:21	32:5,12,14,16,19
shares 66:10	66:13	square 19:6	33:3 62:8 64:5
sheet 23:2	smith 2:19 7:3	stable 16:7	structures 21:2
shifted 62:17	38:22 39:1,6	staff 1:20,21 2:4,5	study 28:9 29:1
shock 11:10	42:10,11,14,18	66:10	stuff 64:1
shop 63:9,10,20	64:17 65:4,19	standard 6:13	subject 32:2
shops 61:18 63:21	society 32:3 42:14	standards 25:7	submit 7:17 8:6
64:1	solar 21:12 26:9	34:15 53:1 55:2	submitted 9:8
shore 8:9 10:2	soldiers 41:8,11	65:13 70:6,8	subscription
short 34:3	sole 13:4	started 41:6	16:10
shoulder 49:22	solicitation 47:14	starts 57:5	substantial 40:9
show 12:7 14:1	solid 32:7	state 9:12 17:20	substations 19:14
showing 33:2	solutions 35:14	stated 72:8	success 19:8 45:6
shows 34:22	45:22	statement 15:13	61:14
sic 12:17 32:5,12	somebody 48:10	26:13,19 37:12	suite 1:16
33:9 50:15 58:10	sorry 27:5 57:16	statements 51:4	sunday 47:3
58:17,18	57:16	57:9 58:17	supplier 6:16
sick 55:22	sort 15:4	station 19:21	62:14
sign 7:20	sounds 13:21 14:4	stay 37:4 47:9	suppliers 62:19,22
signature 74:16	27:4	59:4	63:6,8 65:1
signed 7:18 38:21	south 68:18	step 54:22	supply 14:2 23:12
significant 31:17	soyun 3:9 60:10	steve 2:17 33:18	36:14 50:12
40:3	60:11	33:21	support 13:7 17:5
significantly	space 19:7	stock 13:4	22:12 23:9 25:18
11:20 33:7	spanish 51:17,22	stop 32:11	29:5,17 31:9
signup 23:1	52:7 55:10,12,18	stopped 45:7	39:18,20 41:13
			42:4 43:8,18

45 15 51 4 55 0	. 22.10.25.11	11.1.6166014	. 1.0 7.40
47:15 51:4 57:9	term 23:19 27:14	third 6:16 62:14	translating 56:10
58:16 63:11	61:13	62:19,22 63:6,8	translator 50:15
supporting 25:17	terms 33:3	65:1,7	50:16 53:9
supportive 17:12	testified 27:17	thomas 3:8 58:13	transmission
17:13	29:16	58:14,15 59:17,19	19:15,21 21:1
supports 63:16	testify 9:2 22:17	59:22 60:2,4,6,8	transportation
surcharges 7:1	26:17 35:6 48:19	thoroughly 10:13	9:22
sure 8:18 17:16	68:22	thought 44:19	traveled 28:4
42:7 55:16 56:5	testifying 10:1	threat 19:19	treated 28:1 36:5
58:21 59:2	testimony 8:20 9:8	threatening 44:16	43:12
survive 58:2 59:16	9:9,10 10:5 36:12	three 47:2 62:12	treatment 38:4
susan 10:2	38:7 62:15 68:9	69:13,13	true 74:6
sustainable 21:9	thank 8:12 15:11	time 7:20,21 12:4	truly 46:13
switch 67:8	15:12,15 17:20,21	14:15 16:11 17:18	trusted 30:17
system 17:7 22:12	17:22 18:2 22:13	23:16 27:19 28:15	turn 6:2 38:10
23:12 25:1,14	22:14,17 23:5,6	28:18 31:1 40:15	71:18
31:8 35:2,7,10	26:16,18 27:13,15	40:15,17,17 41:12	turned 38:7
54:10	29:21,22 30:2,7,9	41:12,19,19 42:4	twice 16:16
systems 19:16	30:12 33:15,17,19	43:19 45:16 51:17	two 22:3 50:22
20:2,8,13	36:8,10,15,17,19	53:14 60:14,16	59:11 63:21 64:2
t	38:4,5,11,21 39:1	63:15 68:4,8	66:16
t 2:9,9 57:17 68:12	42:9,10,11,18,21	times 61:17	types 16:18
take 7:13 25:12,13	43:19,21,22 46:2	tinpe 3:11 68:12	typewriting 74:5
43:20 68:19	46:5,6 48:12,14	68:13,15 71:16,17	typical 5:15 6:9
taken 45:17 71:12	48:14,18 50:7,8	today 4:11 34:4	24:7 31:6
74:3,9	50:12,18 51:7,12	36:20 39:17 40:20	u
takeover 11:8	51:14 52:9 53:6	42:4 46:18 48:19	u 41:9
12:12,15 14:11	53:10 55:3,5,17	48:20,22 50:19	u.s. 19:10
talents 32:22	56:6,7,9,21,22,22	54:4 56:16 62:15	unable 66:16
talk 34:17 42:3	57:4,11,12 58:10	tone 27:4	underground
65:19	58:11 59:16 60:8	tonight 35:6	32:16 45:4 58:5
targeted 62:14	64:13,15 68:11,11	top 66:10	underpaid 37:11
targeting 62:22	68:14 71:15,16	traditionally	62:18
targets 61:13	72:7,17,19 73:4,4	62:10	underpaying
task 9:22 10:2	73:13	traffic 60:4	37:13
task 9.22 10.2 tax 7:4 62:9	thing 13:21 14:14	transcriber 75:1	underscore 17:14
tax 7.4 02.9 taxes 6:22 7:13	56:13 59:3	transcript 75:4	understand 7:12
team 26:15	things 41:21 44:8	transform 32:19	9:2 17:5 31:15
technology 21:4	63:3 64:3,12 65:5	transit 32:8	60:7 63:4,16 64:4
21:11	think 4:20 14:18	transitioning	65:18
teeter 16:22 17:1	25:13 26:12 39:4	61:13	understandably
televisions 17:4	40:1,6,15 42:9	translate 5:13	33:15
televisions 17:4 tell 54:22	56:4 59:14 62:15	53:13 55:14	
1011 J4:22	72:16		understanding
			17:9 48:9

undertaken 21:22		wants 8:1,6 14:9	white 68:20
underwood 43:1	V	53:19 73:8	who've 38:20
undue 66:7	v 14:20 57:17	war 41:4,11 42:12	william 3:5 55:7
unfair 62:22 64:9	vacation 56:1	42:13	55:19
66:8 72:16	vacuum 22:1	ward 8:10,11,22	willie 1:21 2:4
unfettered 20:12	valuable 21:14	9:11,20 10:3	willy 4:13
uninterrupted	value 49:16 60:17	14:18 16:1 27:15	wilma 26:20 38:17
20:4	70:17	33:22 39:6,7,8,9	wima 20.20 36.17 win 53:2 54:19
union 34:2,8	variables 33:10	41:2 44:5 46:12	wind 21:13 26:10
50:21	various 10:22	53:5,7 62:13	wire 58:8
unionization	vast 60:22	63:10,21 66:4	wiring 45:4
34:19	vending 28:13	68:19	wish 39:15
unjust 10:15 66:7	vibrant 62:1	washington 1:11	wishes 72:21
72:17	vice 18:5 28:7,8	1:16 18:8 34:6	witness 8:20 22:12
unprecedented	30:15 56:16	66:5 69:6	witnessed 22:10
12:3	vicinity 28:13	washingtonian	28:17 32:18
unreasonable	view 20:12 28:11	18:9	witnesses 8:8
10:16	viewed 28:2	waste 32:8	woods 38:12
unregulated 62:19	views 34:4 73:12	wastewater 32:8	woodson 15:16,18
	vigorously 68:1	wastewater 32.8 watch 5:22 43:9	38:15,15
unyielding 19:19	virtually 10:20		work 13:20 14:9
upfront 31:17	27:21	watching 5:18 73:7	17:13 21:3 22:10
upgrade 21:4	voice 8:16 27:5		28:19 33:7 34:9
upgrades 25:11	57:5 59:21 60:3	water 16:9 19:20	
upgrading 17:12	voted 69:11,15	20:1 31:9 32:6 37:20 47:10	34:16 35:5,14,19
upset 46:13	vulnerable 27:22		36:1,21 37:2,2,4,5
urge 33:11 49:12 urging 10:5	29:16	way 7:4 14:8 43:9 66:14	37:7,20 38:2 39:15 41:16 42:12
usage 62:8 63:22	\mathbf{w}	ways 14:16	51:2,3 52:10,13
use 13:8 14:20	wage 34:15 35:10	we've 12:3 16:17	52:16,18 53:2
15:8	36:1 37:8 38:1	40:2 41:12 62:15	54:5,6,13 55:20
uses 5:15 10:21	53:1 66:18	weakening 67:3	55:21,22 56:3
11:1 14:22	wages 34:12 35:22	weakening 07.3	57:8,11,19,20,22
usually 9:4	37:14,21 53:3	weather 45:11	58:1,15 59:8,12
utilities 19:18 20:5	wait 33:4	webcast 5:18	62:12,18 63:9,20
20:7 22:5 35:12	wall 41:9	wednesday 1:12	worked 18:19
62:4 70:20	want 5:21 6:5 7:11	week 59:8	workers 25:21
utility 9:14 18:1	7:17 8:3 12:15	weekend 5:21	34:13,18,20 36:2
20:11,20 23:14	14:8 17:7 30:5	weighed 23:9	36:3,4 37:15,21
28:12 31:16 34:9	42:20 43:7,13	welcome 7:16	38:1 51:5 53:4
35:17,21,21 36:3	47:18,21 50:18	16:20 65:16	54:12,16 55:1
47:7 49:18 54:17	51:16,17 52:11	wen 2:16 30:10,14	56:15,20 57:10
60:16 62:4 72:15	65:19	went 72:5	58:17 59:1,15
utmost 29:6	wanted 9:10 14:14	west 68:17	62:21
4111108t 27.0	42:8 46:2 50:22	WEST 00.1/	02.21
	12.0 10.2 30.22		

[workforce - zieria]

workforce 21:19 25:20 working 19:13 47:5,16 48:4 52:12,19 56:4 58:4,4 59:1 **world** 19:9 32:12 written 8:6 9:8,9 71:18 73:9 wrong 46:22 X

x 1:3,10

y

yeah 12:1 51:21 65:21 year 31:5 37:11 46:15 69:20 72:14 years 16:2,4 24:6 24:10 26:5 28:5 29:3 30:16,21 31:20 33:5,8 39:8 39:9,12 40:1,4,8 43:6 44:9 47:12 47:13 57:21,22 59:4 63:15

 \mathbf{Z}

yep 57:6

zerihun 2:18 36:18,20 **zieria** 27:1 38:17